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In the last fifteen years, Argentina has seen the development of a wide range of human rights legislation. Social movements, which have a long history in the country, have been central to these legal advances. The country's history of political and economic instability has deeply impacted social movements, leading to both their repression at certain historical moments and, apparently paradoxically, also to their strengthening and increased centrality in the political scene. Movements have responded to this instability by being highly innovative. The innovation of Argentine social movements in developing new repertoires—the weekly march of the famous Madres and Abuelas de Plaza de Mayo, the escrache, paqueteros, the 'empresas recuperadas'—has been well explored in the literature. One innovation in modern Argentine social movements that has been less well explored is the framing of human rights issues as "debts of democracy." This paper will explore that frame, which simultaneously looks forward—by envisioning an idealized version of inclusive democracy and substantive citizenship—and backward—by constructing that ideal in contrast to both the authoritarian rule of the Dirty War and the neoliberal government of the 1990s. This frame has become a "master frame" in Argentine social movements, as it has been implemented in reference to a diverse range of human rights issues. This paper will focus in particular on how this frame was implemented in three particular social movements in Argentina during the Kirchnerista governments: (1) the migrants' rights movement; (2) the LGBT movement; and (3) the women's rights movement.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Argentina is a country known both for the horrific human rights violations of the 1976–1983 Dirty War, and for the strong and innovative human rights movement that grew out of that history of atrocity. The country is characterized both by a long history of instability and authoritarian rule, and a rich tradition of social mobilization. This tradition of collective action arose out of the workers’ rights movements, but over time, social movements have greatly diversified. This history of collective action, however, is coupled with a tragic history of State violence and repression, in both dictatorship and democracy. Over the course of the twentieth century, each of the military dictatorships repressed social movements—particularly leftist groups and unions—often through violence; notorious events of repression against activists preceding the 1976–1983 dictatorship include the 1919 “Tragic Week” in Buenos Aires, the massacre of rural workers in Paragonia in 1921 and 1922, and the 1966 “Night of Long Batons.” Nevertheless, this repression has not eliminated popular resistance, but rather, in many cases, driven its expansion.

Modern Argentine social movements are extremely creative: from the Abuelas and Madres de Plaza de Mayo marching in the central plaza of Buenos Aires with white kerchiefs on their heads, to ‘recovered’ factories and...
8 The term "recovered factories and businesses" (fábricas recuperadas or empresas recuperadas) refers to a repertoire of action that arose after the 2001 economic crisis, whereby groups of workers occupied businesses that were at the point of shutting down or had just shut down, to collectively restart production. The 'recovery' typically involved direct physical action—a takeover and occupation of the physical space—followed by the workers taking over all operations, including administrative and leadership roles. Patricia Davols & Laura Perelman, Respuestas al neoliberalismo en Argentina, 24 Polít. Cult. 208, 208–11 (2005); Daniel Ozarow & Richard Croucher, Workers’ Self-management, Recovered Companies and the Sociology of Work, 48 Soc. 8 (2014).

9. Piquete is a hispanization of the English word ‘picket.’ The piquete repertoire, largely used by unemployed workers during the 2001 economic crisis, is a collective action of blocking roads, thereby causing disruption by limiting circulation. See Maristella Svampa, Movimientos Sociales y Nuevas Prácticas Políticas en Argentina, 20 Nómadas 112; see also Matías Artese, Violencia política y protesta social en la Argentina de fin de siglo. Un estudio aplicado a los cortes, XIX Estudios Sobre Estado y Sociedad 171 (2011).

10. The escrache is a repertoire of action that has its origins in the fight against impunity for dictatorship-era crimes, although its use has diversified greatly since its creation. The organization that popularized the repertoire was H.I.J.O.S., a collective of the children of those murdered, disappeared, and exiled during the dictatorship. The idea of the repertoire was to denounce members of the dictatorship for their crimes publicly, in front of their homes. See generally Sebastián Cominello, Otra vez: “qué es un escrache?”, Razón y Revolución, 149 (2004), https://perma.cc/KY23-EQE5; Santiago Cuero Rúa, Demandas de justicia y escrache en HIJOS La Plata, 36 Memoria Académica 165 (2010); Paula Mónico Felipe, Hijos por la identidad y la justicia contra el olvido y el silencio (H.I.J.O.S.), in Justicia Penal Internacional (Santiago Corcuera Cabezut & José Antonio Guevara Bermúdez eds., 2001); Lo imposible solo tarda un poco más, H.I.J.O.S. (May 14, 2010), https://perma.cc/PV3S-G9PH. With the emergence of the economic crisis, the repertoire became used for other issues, including its implementation by neighborhood assemblies, political parties, and unions in denouncing politicians, IMF employees, and businesses in the context of the economic crisis. Sebastián Cominello, El escrache: una expresión de relaciones de fuerza, Razón y Revolución (Oct. 30, 2015), https://perma.cc/9Y5U-BNSN.

11. Charles Tilley coined the term “repertoires of contention” to refer to the “ways that people act together in pursuit of shared interests.” Charles Tilley, Popular Contention in Great Britain, 1758–1834, 41 (1995). Repertoires depend both on the skills of the members of the movement and of the cultural forms of the population, such that they may “depend upon major fluctuations in interests, opportunities, and organizations.” Sidney Tarrow, Power in Movement: Social Movements and Contentious Politics 39 (2011).

12. For example, in Uruguay, the Unión de Trabajadores Desocupados (“Union of Unemployed Workers”) adopted the piquete repertoire after being inspired by Argentine activists. See Luis Oviedo, Una historia del movimiento piquetero, 9 Razón y Revolución 1, 5 (2002). The escrache is an example of a repertoire that has had substantial diffusion. Its use spread quickly among the region, known by other names such as ‘funas’ (Chile), ‘escrachos’ (Brazil) and ‘roches’ (Peru). Martín Cúneo, “Si no hay justicia, hay escrache”, Diagonal (Feb. 13, 2013), https://perma.cc/H9X3-4HN7; Carmen de Carlos, El triste invento político argentino, ABC Int’l, https://perma.cc/RZ3V-FN54. For discussion of its use in other Latin American countries, see Ana Ros, The Post-Dictatorship Generation in Argentina, Chile, and Uruguay 29, 120 (2012); Uruguay: Si no hay justicia, hay escrache, Red Latina Sin Fronteras (Feb. 1, 2016), https://perma.cc/MX77-JN6A; Funas para todos los torturadores y asesinos: ni olvido, ni perdón; Si no hay justicia, Hay funa, Centro de Estudios Miguel Enriquez (2005), https://perma.cc/ZRAZ-A9PW; see generally Comisión Funá, https://perma.cc/FE84-P9K5.

focus on another innovation of Argentine social movements: the framing of human rights issues as “debts of democracy.”

This article will examine the use of the “debts of democracy” frame in three specific social movements: (1) the migrants’ rights movement; (2) the LGBT movement; and (3) the women’s rights movement. The analysis will focus on their activity in a particular historical moment: under the presidencies of Nestor Kirchner (2003–2007) and Cristina Fernández de Kirchner (2007–2015). In particular, the article will focus on mobilization regarding specific laws: for the migrants’ rights movement, Migration Law 25.871; for the LGBT movement, Law 26.618 (modifying the Civil Code to allow for equal marriage); and for the women’s movement, activism around the decriminalization of abortion.
In the light of these three case studies, this article will compare how activists in each movement implemented the “debts of democracy” frame, define what constitutes the frame, and determine why such a frame may have been strategic in the particular context of 2003–2015. Ultimately, I argue that the “debts of democracy” frame has become a master frame in Argentina because it proved strategic to diverse social movements for several reasons: it connects with broader social and cultural values, relates to frames of human rights that solidified during the transitional justice process, taps into public emotion regarding the atrocities of the dictatorship and the disaster of the neoliberal reform, and allows movements to capitalize on political opportunities presented by the rise of kirchnerismo.21 It is a dynamic frame, one that at once looks forward in imagining ideal democracy and reconceptualizing citizenship, and looks backwards in using previous human rights violations as a foil for what democracy should look like.

These case studies were selected because they provide an interesting basis to study the “debts of democracy” frame. All social movements were impacted by the junta’s prohibition on collective action.22 These three movements and the populations they advocate for—migrants, LGBT persons, and women—have not traditionally been focused on as targets of the regime’s “Process of National Reorganization”23 on the basis of these identity factors per se, although scholarship is increasingly exploring the ways that intersectional identities impacted the ways that individuals experienced repression. All three groups suffered particular discriminations and violence during the Dirty War. The junta’s promotion of ethnic nationalism—portraying Argentina as European as opposed to indigenous and Latin American—led to the dictatorship’s targeting of migrants from neighboring Latin American countries, both de jure—by means of a new migration law—and de facto—by discriminatory policing practices.25 The regime also emphasized “occidental and Christian values,” including traditional gender

21. In this article, I will use the term kirchnerismo broadly to refer to the left-wing populist movement that developed over the course of the presidencies of Néstor Kirchner and Cristina Fernández de Kirchner.

22. Collective action was strictly prohibited by the military junta, forcing many movements to go underground or disband. Díez observes that the LGBT movement “completely disappeared” as “[a]ctivists could not organize for fear of losing their lives.” Díez, supra note 3, at 79. Similarly, Mabel Bellucci recounted, “[w]hile feminism was expanding worldwide during these years, feminists in Argentina became silent, constrained by military violence, or went into exile.” Mabel Bellucci, Women’s Struggle to Decide about Their Own Bodies: Abortion and Sexual Rights in Argentina, 10 REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH MATTERS 99, 101 (1997).


25. Oteiza & Novick, supra note 5, at 8; Ceriani Cernadas & Morales, supra note 24, at 9.
roles, with significant implications for both the LGBT population and women in general. Women’s reproductive health and role as mothers was attacked by the crime of kidnapping.

Nor were these movements the focal point of collective action during the 2001 crisis. However, migrants were targeted via a campaign of xenophobia and mass detention leading up to the economic crisis. Additionally, in general, already vulnerable sectors of society were thrust into further precariousness by the economic meltdown.

This article will proceed in five parts. Part II will review important contextual information about Argentina, focusing on the dueling histories of crisis and social movements. This section will particularly emphasize the two recent crises—the 1976–1983 military junta and the 2001 economic crisis—key to understanding the “debts of democracy” frame. Part III will offer a short overview to relevant social movement theory. Part IV will examine the three case studies: (1) the migrants’ rights movement, (2) the LGBT movement, and (3) the women’s movement. Part V will analyze how the theory presented in Part III can be applied to these case studies. Finally, Part VI will present some additional thoughts, conclusions, and questions for further research.

II. The Argentine Context: Cycles of Crises and Collective Action

Because successful repertoires and frames depend on social and cultural context, it is important to understand the environment in which they operate. For the last century, the Argentine context has been marked by “crisis, instability, and uncertainty,” with “economic crises devolv[ing] into political crises and vice versa.”

From 1930 to 1983, the political context oscillated between democracy and dictatorship, with no transition from one democratically elected leader to another. Military dictatorships—and at times, democratic regimes—restricted social movements, repressing activists and restricting free speech and mobilization. Historically, the economic and landholding elite sup-

27. Daniel Berisso & María María Quintana, Derechos humanos: Fundamentos filosóficos y perspectivas políticas, in Movimientos Sociales y Derechos Humanos en la Argentina 97 (Brenda Pereyra & Pablo Vommaro eds., 2010).
29. Tarrow, supra note 11, at 29.
31. Diez, supra note 3, at 49.
ported the imposition of military rule, and took their own actions to further the "political exclusion of the popular sectors."32 This political exclusion contributed to a "propensity . . . to resolve conflict outside political institutions."33 Social demands, therefore, have "been mostly expressed through mass social mobilization,"34 and regime changes "occurred when elites have been unable to contain mobilized social discontent."35 While Argentina's early economic history was marked by prosperity,36 the later twentieth century was defined by a cycle of economic crises.37

There are two recent crises that are central to understanding the "debts to democracy" frame: the 1976–1983 junta, and the 1999–2002 economic crisis. Social movements were repressed under both crises, but nevertheless spread widely and developed innovative repertoires. The 1976–1983 junta, which was co-directed by the heads of the army, navy, and airforce,38 was the most brutal in a string of dictatorships over the course of the twenty-first century.39 Over time, the country's military regimes had grown more authoritarian. The 1966–1973 dictatorship, known as the 'Argentine revolution,' was the first to propose a "new type of state" where the means of dealing with social and economic crisis was focused around "depolariz[ing] the society and eradicat[ing] the 'danger' of peronism and communism" by eliminating political parties and persecuting leftist activists.40 The Argentine Revolution did not eliminate social unrest, however, and under the subsequent presidencies of Juan Perón and acting president Isabel Perón (his widow who took over upon his death),41 leftist guerilla move-

32. Fernández, supra note 4, at 26.
33. Id.
34. Id.
35. Id.
36. In the late nineteenth and early twentieth century, the Argentine economy boomed. In 1913, Argentina was the tenth wealthiest country in the world. Nonetheless, because much of this growth was export-driven, the country remained extremely vulnerable to external shocks. Fernández, supra note 4, at 17–21.
37. These crises include that of 1930 (resulting from the Great Depression), 1949, 1974, 1989, and 1999–2002. See generally Fernández, supra note 4; Paul Cooney, Argentina's Quarter Century Experiment with Neoliberalism: From Dictatorship to Depression, 11 R. Econ. Contemp. 7 (2007). From 1930 to 1976, governments first attempted to respond to these crises by implementing Import Substitution Industrialization, a popular economic policy in the Latin American region at the time. In 1976, the government's response shifted, marking the beginning of a neoliberal period that lasted through the 2001 economic crisis. Fernández, supra note 4, at 26. For more information on the 2001 economic crisis, see infra notes 66–90 and accompanying text.
38. Harry E. Vanden & Gary Prevost, Politics of Latin America: The Power Game 402–6 (2006). In this text, I will use the terms 'the 1976–1983 military junta', 'the junta', 'the regime' 'the 1976–1983 dictatorship/military dictatorship', 'the dictatorship', and 'the military dictatorship'.
39. Fernández, supra note 4, at 37; Berisso & Quintana, infra note 27, at 95.
40. Id.
41. Juan Domingo Perón is a central but divisive figure in Argentine political history. He was president three times, from 1946 to 1952, 1952 to 1955, and 1973 to 1976. After the end of his second term in 1955, he was forced into exile that would last 17 years. For his supporters, Perón represented a "democratic leader who listened to the demands of works and granted social and economic benefits to a large part of the population." To his critics, he was an "authoritarian dictator and a demagogue." Fernández, supra note 4, at 30–34, 39–40; Maristella Svampa, Revisiting Argentina 2001–13: From
ments in the country grew. Isabel Perón's government responded with intense repression, ramping up the persecution of the political left. Internal conflict continued to escalate, and the country was in the midst of economic crisis. In the face of looming social, political, and economic crises, the military seized power in a coup in 1976.

The junta adopted and deepened the concept of the state created under the Argentine Revolution, embarking upon what they called a "Process of National Reorganization." Marked by the Cold War context, the regime said that it needed to root out the "cancer" of communism by applying a "major surgery," with the military as "surgeons." El Proceso consisted of a brutal campaign of "mass-kidnappings, imprisonment without charges, torture, and murder," undertaken by official security forces and paramilitary forces.

The regime is particularly notorious for crimes of disappearances and kidnapping. Indeed, while the crime of 'disappearances' had long been practiced, it was the crimes of the Latin American dictatorships of the 1970s and 1980s—significant among them the Argentine junta—that led to its codification as an international crime. The 'disappeared' were

"¿Qué se van a hacer?" to the Peronist Decade, in ARGENTINA SINCE THE 2001 CRISIS (Gabi Levey et. al., eds., 2014).

42. The first guerrilla groups developed in the early 1960s in Northern Argentina. By the time of the 1966–1973 dictatorship, the largest movements were the Misioneros (“Catholic Peronist Nationalism”), and the Trotskyist Revolutionary Army of the People (the “Ejército Revolucionario del Pueblo” ERP). Fernández, supra note 4, at 23, 38; Berisso & Quintana, supra note 27, at 79, 95.

43. Under Isabel, the newly formed Alianza Anticomunista Argentina (Triple A) "assassinated[ed] and expelle[d] from the country figures associated with the ideas of the left, anticipat[ing] the repression that the military government would carry out from 1976 forward." Fernández, supra note 4, at 38–40. See also HARRY E. VANDEN & GARY PREVOST, POLITICS OF LATIN AMERICA: THE POWER GAME 402–406 (2006).

44. Fernández, supra note 4, at 39.

45. Id. at 39–40; Díez supra note 3, at 78–79.

46. Fernández, supra note 4, at 40; Sikkink, supra note 1, at 62.


49. Berisso & Quintana, supra note 27, at 97. The military justified its actions in terms of national security and labeled the guerrilla movements—as well as anyone they deemed "subversive"—as "terrorists." Navarro, supra note 7, at 241.

50. Sikkink, supra note 1, at 62. "The State Terrorism resorted to clandestine oppression that was organized in four phases: kidnapping, torture, detention, and execution of the opposition or suspects. The victims were housed in clandestine detention centers that functioned in police stations, prisons, warehouses, and military offices. In these detention centers [victims] were tortured and many were secretly executed. The bodies, without identification, were buried in common graves, burned, or thrown into the Río de la Plata. Many children that were born in captivity were given to the families of the oppressors or to people connected with members of the military." Fernández, supra note 4, at 41. See also, Berisso & Quintana, supra note 27, at 97.

51. Berisso & Quintana, supra note 27, at 97.

52. On February 29, 1980, the United Nations Office of the High Commissioner for Human Rights established a Working Group on Enforced or Involuntary Disappearances, which remains operational through today. In the early 1990s, the U.N. General Assembly also released the Declaration on the
those individuals killed by the dictatorship whose remains were disposed of in secret to deprive their loved ones the closure of knowing their fate. The regime executed forced disappearances in many different ways, but perhaps the most notorious were the “death flights” (“vuelos de muerte”) whereby prisoners were drugged, loaded into an airplane, and dropped—usually stripped naked—from the airplane into the Atlantic Ocean or the Río de la Plata. Human rights organizations estimate that about 30,000 people disappeared in the Dirty War. The junta adopted new forms of State violence by kidnapping the children of the disappeared, often to be given to families loyal to the regime.

This multifaceted program of State Terrorism sought to eliminate all forms of social protest and dissent. While the military claimed to be fighting a war with dangerous communist rebels, in reality the dictatorship considered “all those who opposed the government” to be subversives. Groups such as workers, students, intellectuals, political activists, religious groups, and artists were particularly affected. Despite the military’s consistent efforts to suppress social movements, the ‘Dirty War’ was ultimately the genesis of Argentina’s modern human rights movement. The country’s history of social movements fused with developing concepts of international human rights. According to Daniel Berisso and María Marta Quintana,


53. In Spanish, it is the desaparecidos.
56. Estimates on the number of people disappeared do vary, but most human rights organizations support this figure. The National Commission on the Disappeared (CONADEP) estimated that there were 9,000 deaths and disappearances, but the general consensus among human rights groups is that the CONADEP lacked sufficient resources and time to accurately document all disappearances and that the true total is significantly higher. SICKINK, supra note 1, at 63; FERNÁNDEZ, supra note 4, at 41.
57. Some young children were captured with their parents and then kidnapped, while some pregnant detainees were forced to give birth in captivity and then their babies were kidnapped. Berisso & Quintana, supra note 27, at 97.
58. Fernández, supra note 4, at 40–41.
59. Id. at 41. (“The majority of the detained-disappeared were workers (which demonstrated that the objective was to halt the popular opposition to the economic measures), but many sectors that opposed the government ideologically [were also detained and disappeared]: professionals, teachers, students, intellectuals, political activists, writers, artists, religious groups that were committed to popular sectors, etc.”).
“while there were demands and denunciations of violence and violations before the 1976–1983 dictatorship . . . they were not framed in the language and conceptualization of human rights. It was only in this historical moment [of the Dirty War] that the issue of ‘human rights’ erupted on the Argentine political scene.”

Some of the country’s most prominent human rights organizations were also formed during this period. Particularly famous are those organizations that represent the families of the disappeared—the Abuelas de Plaza de Mayo, the Asociación Madres de Plaza de Mayo, the Madres de Plaza de Mayo Línea Fundadora, and H.I.J.O.S. Additionally, a number of generalized human rights organizations formed in opposition to the dictatorship, including: the Centro de Estudios Legales y Sociales (CELS), the Asamblea Permanente para los Derechos Humanos (APDH), the Servicio de Paz y Justicia (SERPAJ) and the Movimiento Ecuménico por los Derechos Humanos (MEDH).

The groups all faced repression under the junta, including the detention and forced disappearance of its members. Ultimately, however, it was pressure from the human rights movement that led Argentina to become the first country in Latin America to conduct domestic trials for the human rights violations of a former regime. All these organizations still exist, and a number have diversified their work beyond their initial focus on the dictatorship. Several played important roles in the case studies.

The second crisis important to understand in decoding the “debts of democracy” frame is the 1999–2002 economic crisis, which emerged from a series of 1990s neoliberal policies pursued by the administration of Carlos Menem in an effort to respond to the economic crisis of 1989. Under the neoliberal reform, the government employed measures prescribed by the so-called, ‘Washington Consensus,’ including the ‘Convertibility Plan,’ the privatization of public companies, deregulation, stand-by agreements with

---

60. Berisso & Quintana, supra note 27, at 94. It bears noting, however, that there were manifestations of the use of human rights discourses before this moment, including the 1969 ‘Cordobazo protest’ under the ‘Argentine Revolution’ and the creation of the Liga Argentina por los Derechos Humanos as early as 1937. Fernández, supra note 4, at 23, 38; Berisso & Quintana, supra note 27, at 94.
61. Ellen Lutz and Kathryn Sikkink observe that there were very few human rights NGOs in Latin America before the 1960s and 1970s, when authoritarian regimes spread throughout the region and organizations began to emerge in response to gross human rights violations. Lutz & Sikkink, supra note 47, at 280. See also, Clearay, supra note 60, at 62, 66.
62. Sikkink, supra note 1, at 64. Several of these organizations supported the work of the Madres and the Abuelas by contributing legal expertise and activism experience. Kurtz, supra note 7, at 4.
63. Sikkink, supra note 1, at 64.
64. Berisso & Quintana, supra note 27, at 99; Sikkink, supra note 1, at 3, 12. See also, Sikkink, supra note 2, at 50.
65. Of particular note is the role of CELS, a central actor in all three case studies.
the International Monetary Fund, and a reform of the social security system. While the reforms restored economic stability for some time, in the second half of the decade the economic situation declined. President Fernando De la Rúa, elected in 1999, continued those economic policies. The country descended into a dire economic crisis that peaked in 2001. That year, 51.7% of the population of Greater Buenos Aires was living below the poverty line, and 19.2% was living in a ‘state of indigence.’ In 2002, Argentina underwent the largest debt default by a single country in history. The national unemployment rate hit 18.3%, and of those receiving a salary, 41.1% were working informally.

Protests against neoliberal policies developed throughout the 1990s, but increased exponentially in Menem’s second term. Both traditional unions and new groups representing unemployed workers were central players. Repertoires grew increasingly disruptive. Social mobilization broadened as the crisis worsened, with increasing numbers taking to the streets with empty pots and pans in the famous caceralazo protests.

The Menem government attempted to “neutralize” unions that opposed its reforms, and government repression—primarily via criminalization and policing—increased as the crisis deepened and collective actions escalated. The De la Rúa government largely replicated the tactics of the pre-

68. Armelino, supra note 67, at 58.
69. Fernández, supra note 4, at 43–44; Armelino, supra note 67, at 59.
70. The second half of the decade saw increased unemployment and external debt as well as pressure from foreign financial institutions to apply further neoliberal policies, including austerity measures. There were also a series of corruption scandals. Fernández, supra note 4, at 43–44.
71. Id. at 44–45; Armelino, supra note 67, at 73.
72. Fernández, supra note 4, at 44–45; Armelino, supra note 67, at 73.
73. In response, the government took extreme measures such as reducing salaries and pensions by 13%, and restricting the amount of money that could be withdrawn from banks. However, these measures were insufficient to combat the extent of the economic crisis. Fernández, supra note 4, at 45.
75. La Protesta Social en la Argentina durante diciembre de 2001 1, CENTRO DE ESTUDIOS LEGALES Y SOCIALIS (2002) (hereinafter, CELS 2002), https://perma.cc/4Z2K-QVWK. The statistics were even more extreme in other regions, such as the north of the country. Id. at 2–3.
76. Cooney, supra note 37, at 9.
77. CELS 2002, supra note 75, at 2.
78. In particular, the Congreso de Trabajadores Argentinos, most commonly referred to by its initials, CTA. Fernández, supra note 4, at 44.
79. Id.
80. Use of roadblocks and piquetes increased. As the crisis worsened, the number of roadblocks that occurred increased accordingly. In 1997, there were 104 roadblocks in the whole country; in 1998, there was one roadblock per week; in 1999, there was one every day and a half; in 2000, there was at least one per day, and finally, in 2001, there was an average of 4 to 5 roadblocks daily. Fernández, supra note 4, at 6–7.
81. Id. at 45.
82. Armelino, supra note 67, at 60.
83. Id.; Fernández, supra note 4, at 44–45; CELS 2002, supra note 75, at 7.
vious administration.\textsuperscript{84} Interactions between protestors and state agents at times escalated to physical violence,\textsuperscript{85} and civil society organizations have denounced abuses of power by security forces that resulted in deaths and injuries to protestors.\textsuperscript{86} As the crisis intensified, De la Rúa imposed a State of Emergency previously adopted under military dictatorships.\textsuperscript{87} On December 20, 2001, confrontations between protestors and security forces resulted in the death of 27 people,\textsuperscript{88} prompting De la Rúa's resignation.\textsuperscript{89}

Despite persistent repression, the economic crisis ultimately inspired strong and innovative collective social responses. Brenda Pereyra and Pablo Vommaro observe, "subjected to an unprecedented economic crisis, the social collectives resisted and/or survived by implementing the repertoires at their reach and by creating other forms of expression of protest."\textsuperscript{90}

These two crises have both political and economic dimensions.\textsuperscript{91} The implementation of neoliberal policies began under the dictatorship,\textsuperscript{92} and one of the factors that led to the 	extit{junta}'s demise was economic crisis.\textsuperscript{93} The 1999-2002 economic crisis, in turn, generated a major political crisis: President De la Rúa's notoriously leaving the Casa Rosada in a helicopter, prompting a series of events whereby the country passed through five presidents in two weeks.\textsuperscript{94} Understanding Argentina's political and economic instability is essential to understanding its social movements. Brenda Pereyra and Pablo Vommaro explain, "[t]he political, social, economic, and cultural crises have transformed collective action in the country into the motor that has permitted the phoenix to arise from the ashes."\textsuperscript{95} Understanding the 	extit{junta} and the 1999-2002 economic crisis in particular is also

\textsuperscript{84} Fernández, supra note 4, at 45.
\textsuperscript{85} CELS 2002, supra note 75 at 6-7; Fernández, supra note 4, at 44-45.
\textsuperscript{86} For example, on December 20, 2011, there were major confrontations between protestors and the federal police in the Plaza de Mayo, resulting in the death of at least 5 civilians. \textit{Id.} at 21-22.
\textsuperscript{87} CELS 2002, supra note 75, at 7.
\textsuperscript{88} Fernández, supra note 4, at 45.
\textsuperscript{89} Id. at 45-46; CELS 2002, supra note 75.
\textsuperscript{90} Pereyra & Vommaro, supra note 30, at 9.
\textsuperscript{91} Id. at 17.
\textsuperscript{92} Susana Torrado, \textit{El Costo Social del Ajuste} (2010), summarized in María Elena Naddeo, \textit{Los Derechos de las Mujeres y el impacto de los procesos de ajuste estructural}, in \textit{Las Conquistas de las Mujeres en los 30 años de Democracia} 83, 84-85 (Susana Peréz Gallart ed., Asamblea Permanente de Derechos Humanos, 2015). Indeed, some scholars lump the period from 1976 to 1999 together, despite the 1983 democratic transition. \textit{Id.}
\textsuperscript{93} Cooney, supra note 37, at 10-11.
\textsuperscript{94} Following De la Rúa's resignation on December 20, 2001, Ramón Puerta assumed power as Senate Provisional President until the Legislative Assembly was able to name Adolfo Rodríguez Saá, the Governor of the San Luis province, as a temporary president. However, in the face of a new wave of protests, Rodríguez Saá resigned, and the Head of the Chamber of Deputies, Eduardo Camaño, was named temporary president until the Legislative Assembly could meet again. Finally, on January 1, 2002, the Legislative Assembly named Eduardo Dualde as interim president. Fernández, supra note 4, at 45-46; CELS 2002, supra note 75. Repression of social movements continued under his mandate. Fernández, supra note 4, at 45-46.
\textsuperscript{95} Brenda Pereyra & Pablo Vommaro, \textit{Prólogo}, in \textit{Movimientos Sociales y Derechos Humanos en la Argentina} 17, 41 (Brenda Pereyra & Pablo Vommaro eds., 2010).
essential to studying the "debts of democracy" frame, as these two crises constitute the primary foils used in constructing concepts of idealized democracy.

III. SOCIAL MOVEMENTS, FRAMING, AND DEMOCRATIC CITIZENSHIP

A. Characterizing Social Movements

Sidney Tarrow explains that a social movement exists when people with limited resources can build on political opportunities, "us[ing] known repertoires of action," and "legitimate, action-oriented cultural frames" in order to "act together contentiously" on the basis of "dense social networks and effective connective structures."96 In Tarrow's definition, movements are sustained actions that "revolve around broad cleavages in society," "bring people together around inherited cultural symbols," and "build on—or construct—dense social networks and connective structures."97 Tarrow constructs the social movement as an autonomous entity separate from and in opposition to the State.98 David A. Snow, Sarah A. Soule, and Hanspeter Kriesi introduce a similar dividing line, distinguishing "collective actions that are institutionalized and normatively sanctioned"—'interest groups'—from "those that are not"—'social movements.'99

Jordi Díez, in contrast, observes that when social democratic parties have control in Latin America, "they have recruited civil society individuals to government positions," blurring "the line between state and nonstate actors."100 Social movement scholars have identified three overlapping key resources that movements depend on: (1) "Cultural Artifacts and Frames"; (2) "Political Opportunities and Constraints," and (3) "Networks and Organizations."101

Cultural Artifacts and Frames: Movements do not just advance towards tangible goals; they also "make and manipulate meaning."102 According to Tarrow, there are three ways movements make meaning: (a) framing contentious politics; (b) defining, crystallizing, and constructing collective identity; and (c) reflecting, capturing, and shaping emotions.103

96. Tarrow, supra note 11, at 16, 33.
97. Id.
98. Id. at 32.
99. David A. Snow, Sarah A. Soule & Hanspeter Kriesi, Mapping the Terrain, in THE BLACKWELL COMPANION TO SOCIAL MOVEMENTS 1, 6-7 (David A. Snow, Sarah A. Soule & Hanspeter Kriesi eds., 2004). However, they acknowledge the two will sometimes overlap. Id.
100. Díez, supra note 3, at 8-9. Such as the kirchnerista wing of the Judicialist Party, the political movement linked to the Kirchner presidencies.
101. Tarrow, supra note 11, at 121. See also COMPARATIVE PERSPECTIVES ON SOCIAL MOVEMENTS: POLITICAL OPPORTUNITIES, MOBILIZING STRUCTURES, AND CULTURAL FRAMINGS (Doug McAdam, John D. McCarthy and Mayer N. Zald eds., 1996).
102. Id. at 142.
103. Id. at 142-43.
This section will focus in particular on the literature about framing contentious politics.

The work of David Snow and his colleagues is the cornerstone of the theory of collective action frames.\textsuperscript{104} Snow and Robert Bedford define a frame as:

an interpretive schemata that simplifies and condenses the ‘world out there’ by selectively punctuating and encoding objects, situations, events, experiences, and sequences of action within one’s present or past environment.\textsuperscript{105}

Frames “justify, dignify, and animate collective action.”\textsuperscript{106} They help “underscore and embellish the seriousness and injustice of a social conviction or redefine as unjust and immoral what was previously seen as unfortunate but perhaps tolerable.”\textsuperscript{107}

Frames often make use of culture and collective identity. Successful frames incorporate “traditional cultural symbols” but also “orient . . . towards action,” creating a frame “at the intersection between a target population’s inherited culture and [the movement’s] values and goals.”\textsuperscript{108} Culture, therefore, is at once an “arena of action,” a “consequence of movement efforts,” and “a causal factor in mobilization.”\textsuperscript{109} In this way, frames both “[draw] on inherited collective identities and shap[e] new ones.”\textsuperscript{110} Tarrow proposes that two common means of addressing this delicate balance: “injustice framing” and “bricolage.”\textsuperscript{111}

“Injustice framing” identifies a situation as unjust, assigns responsibility to ‘others,’ and proposes innovative solutions.\textsuperscript{112} “Bricolage” occurs when:

\begin{quote}
[f]amiliar themes are arrayed to entice citizens to become supporters; and new themes are soldered onto them to activate them
\end{quote}


\textsuperscript{105} Snow & Benford, \textit{supra} note 17, at 137.

\textsuperscript{106} Tarrow, \textit{supra} note 11, at 31. Some other terms that have been used in recent scholarship on social movements include: “cognitive frames, ideological packages, and cultural discourses.” Tarrow, \textit{supra} note 11, at 31.

\textsuperscript{107} Snow & Benford, \textit{supra} note 17, at 136.

\textsuperscript{108} Tarrow, \textit{supra} note 11, at 144; David A. Snow, et. al., \textit{supra} note 104, at 464.


\textsuperscript{110} Tarrow, \textit{supra} note 11, at 31.

\textsuperscript{111} Id. at 145–46.

\textsuperscript{112} Id. at 145. Doug McAdam argues the injustice frame is essential to motivating collective action. Doug McAdam, \textit{The Biological Impact of Activism}, in \textit{How Social Movements Matter} 51 (M. Guigni, D. McAdam & C. Tilly eds., 1999).
in new and creative directions. Bricolage pulls together accepted and new frames to legitimate contention and mobilize accepted frames for new purposes.\textsuperscript{113}

Bricolage, therefore, is related to frame alignment, which can occur four different ways: (1) "frame bridging," (2) "frame amplification;" (3) "frame extension" and (4) "frame transformation."\textsuperscript{114}

A successful frame may be adopted by other movements until it becomes a "master frame."\textsuperscript{115} Snow and Redford define master frames are "generic frames" and "quite broad in terms of scope."\textsuperscript{116} Master frames "[function] as a kind of master algorithm that colors and constrains the orientations and activities of other movements," while allowing movements to learn from each other and "adopt successful solutions to collective action problems."\textsuperscript{117} Master frames are rare, however, because they have to be "sufficiently broad in interpretive scope, inclusivity, flexibility, and cultural resonance."\textsuperscript{118} In addition to social movement, framing is also carried out by opponents, the media, and state actors.\textsuperscript{119} These simultaneous processes result in "framing contests" as different groups try to gain a monopoly on meaning.\textsuperscript{120}

**Political Opportunities and Threats:** Movements face both political opportunities and threats. Political opportunities are "consistent – but not necessarily formal, permanent, or national – sets of clues that encourage people to engage in contentious politics."\textsuperscript{121} They can also be understood as the "[perceived] probability that social protest actions will lead to success."\textsuperscript{122} Tarrow identifies four types of opportunities: (1) opening of access to participation; (2) evidence of political realignment within the polity; (3) availability of influential allies; and (4) emerging splits within the elite.\textsuperscript{123} Threats, in contrast, are "those factors – repression, but also the capacity of authorities to present a solid front to insurgents – that discourage contention."\textsuperscript{124} Threats can also have the potential to increase action, because they

\begin{thebibliography}{124}
\bibitem{113} Tarrow, supra note 11, at 146.
\bibitem{114} David A. Snow, et. al., supra note 104, at 467–74 (1986).
\bibitem{116} Id.
\bibitem{117} Koopmans, supra note 15, at 31.
\bibitem{118} Id.
\bibitem{119} Tarrow, supra note 11, at 32, 144; Redford & Snow, supra note 115, at 611, 613.
\bibitem{120} Doug McAdam, Sidney Tarrow, & Charles Tilly, Dynamics of Contention 45, 48 (2001); Tarrow, supra note 11, at 144.
\bibitem{121} See generally Id. at 32.
\bibitem{123} Tarrow, supra note 11, at 164–65. For a more detailed description of these four opportunities, see id. at 165–66.
\bibitem{124} See generally Tarrow, supra note 11, at 32.
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may "outrage" the "interests . . . values . . . or . . . sense of justice" of a particular group.\textsuperscript{125} The combination of political opportunities and threats at a given time composes the "political opportunity structure" which affects both strategy and impact.\textsuperscript{126} This opportunity structure constitutes a "set of clues for when contentious politics will emerge and . . . set in motion a chain of causation that may ultimately lead . . . to social movements."\textsuperscript{127} Some opportunities may be longer-term and structural, such as "state structure" and 'political cleavages.'\textsuperscript{128} Others may be short term moments that are particularly rife with political opportunities, such as 'regime change,'\textsuperscript{129} 'reform,' and 'new splits among the elite.'\textsuperscript{130}

\textbf{Networks and Organizations:} Tarrow explains, "[a]lthough it is individuals who decide whether to take up collective action, it is in their face-to-face groups, their social networks, and the connective structures between them that collective action is most often activated and sustained."\textsuperscript{131} The construction of organizations depends on networks "whose friendships, interpersonal trust, and shared perceptions are transformed into movement actions and programs."\textsuperscript{132} Social movements use such networks in mobilizing support and in increasing the pressure on their opponents.\textsuperscript{133} The process of collective action can also create new networks, as activists often "move through overlapping organizational networks, bringing with them identities, projects, and styles of work."\textsuperscript{134}

\textbf{B. Social Movements, Citizenship, and Democracy}

Social movements are deeply involved in constructing the meaning of citizenship and redefining 'rights holders.'\textsuperscript{135} Paola Cyment explains that human rights movements can be understood to expand concepts of citizen-

\begin{itemize}
  \item \textsuperscript{125} \textit{Id.} at 160.
  \item \textsuperscript{127} \textit{Tarrow, supra} note 11, at 33.
  \item \textsuperscript{128} \textit{Id.}
  \item \textsuperscript{129} \textit{Id.} at 159, 161. A 'regime' is a system of "regular relations among government, established political actors, challengers, and outside political actors." Regime change, therefore, is a "change that inserts new actors into these relations, reduces the power of regime members, or imposes new relations among them." \textit{Id.} at 161.
  \item \textsuperscript{130} Koopmans, \textit{supra} note 15, at 24. ("Factions among [the elite] may choose to mobilize popular support in order to strengthen their position vis-à-vis rival elites, either by directly sponsoring or even initiating protest campaigns, or by encouraging dissent in more subtle ways."). \textit{Id.}
  \item \textsuperscript{131} \textit{Tarrow, supra} note 11, at 33.
  \item \textsuperscript{132} \textit{Id.} at 132.
  \item \textsuperscript{133} \textit{Id.}
  \item \textsuperscript{134} \textit{Id.}
  \item \textsuperscript{135} Paola Cyment, \textit{Derechos Humanos, pobreza y desarrollo}, in \textit{Movimientos Sociales y Derechos Humanos en la Argentina} 107, 124 (Brenda Pereyra & Pablo Vommaro eds., 2010).
\end{itemize}
ship, because "to be recognized as a rights holder means exercising a citizenship status that . . . includes the participation in the social, economic, and cultural processes that contribute to full human development."  

Other scholars note the centrality of the "rights dimension" to citizenship, and vice versa. Of course, there is also an inherent tension between the universality of rights and the exclusivity of the legal status of citizenship.  

These arguments reflect a substantive notion of citizenship, whereby membership in a political community guarantees access to certain rights. A substantive notion of citizenship goes hand-in-hand with a substantive notion of democracy, which goes beyond a formalistic understanding focused on practices such as voting and direct representation, towards an understanding of democracy as a system that "guarantees that citizens can exercise their right to participate in the different instances where decisions are made and all can benefit from economic development." Under a substantive understanding of democracy, laws can be understood as "an instrument of enforceability and guarantee," and/or "a crystallization of state expectations and a vehicle for transforming state understandings and practices." These ideas of legislation may explain why the movements studied chose to invest significant resources on legislative change, even in a social context where there is a significant gap between what the law says and what happens in reality.

137. Brenda Pereyra, Ciudadania y Movimientos Sociales. Pensando en su Interrelación y Constante Transformación, in MOVIMIENTOS SOCIALES Y DERECHOS HUMANOS EN LA ARGENTINA 157, 157 (Brenda Pereyra & Pablo Vommaro eds., 2010) ("the rights dimension is central to the definition of citizenship"); Díez, supra note 3, at 38 ("citizenship, at its most basic level, refers to an individual's policy membership and, specifically, to the rights and responsibilities such membership confers and demands.").  
138. Pereyra, supra note 137, at 157 (describing citizenship as an "intermediary step between the definition of universal rights and the realization of the welfare, liberty, or equality that [such rights] propose.").  
139. Elizabeth Jelin, The construction of citizenship: between solidarity and responsibility, in CONSTRUIR LA DEMOCRACIA: DERECHOS HUMANOS, CIUDADANÍA Y SOCIEDAD EN AMÉRICA LATINA (E. Jelin & E. Hershberg eds., 1996); Margaret Keck & Kathryn Sikkink, Las redes transnacionales en las políticas internacionales regionales 159 REVISTA INTERNACIONAL DE CIENCIAS SOCIALES (1999); Pereyra, supra note 137, at 159.  
140. Those rights may be established by national or international law. Cyment, supra note 135, at 124; Pereyra, supra note 137, at 158. While the liberal democratic tradition emphasizes the civil and political rights that citizenship ensures, the social democratic traditional emphasizes that citizenship should guarantee not only civil and political rights but also social rights. Díez, supra note 3, at 39.  
141. Cyment, supra note 135, at 125.  
142. Id. at 124–125.  
Social movements play a key role in broadening the notion of both citizenship and rights. The themes explored in these case studies are intimately tied to questions of citizenship. The citizenship dimension of immigration is the most obvious, since defining citizenship is a fundamental question of immigration law. In the area of LGBT rights, access to the state sanctioned institution of marriage is “essential to the achievement of full citizenship,” and activism on the issue “push[es] the boundaries of democracy and citizenship,” under the understanding that “democratic citizenship” requires “the legal and social recognition of the ethical status of gay and lesbian relationships.” Advocacy for women’s rights—particularly reproductive rights—touches upon “broader historical and societal conceptions of the relationship among intimacy, gender, equality, and democracy.” All three movements challenge two traditional Argentine discourses regarding citizenship: (1) the State emphasis on increasing population size; and (2) the focus on the heteronormative family as the base unit for social construction.

IV. CASE STUDIES: SOCIAL MOVEMENTS IN ARGENTINA

A. The Migrants’ Rights Movement and the Migration Law 25.871

i. History of Migration Law and the Migrants’ Rights Movement

For much of its history, Argentina was a major migrant-receiving country, receiving vast waves of European migrants and, later on, significant migration from other Latin American countries. Following World War I, the immigrant population declined, and since the 1970s, the country has become a major migrant sending country. Migration policy has long been central to the State project of constructing national identity. Historically, an “epic reading of... European migration” has dominated, coupled

145. Díez, supra note 3, at 5, 44.
146. Id. at 28, 35–37.
148. Immigration peaked in 1914, when nearly a third of the national population and nearly half of the population of Buenos Aires were immigrants. However, in 1914, migrants from neighboring countries represented a mere 8.6% of the immigrant population in Argentina, whereas in 2010, they represented 68.9 of the immigrant population. Pacecca & Courtis, supra note 147, at 7; INSTITUTO NACIONAL DE ESTÁTISTICAS Y CENSOS (INDEC), Censo Nacional de Población, Hogares y Viviendas 2010 (Oct. 2012), https://perma.cc/3H5H-Q3D9.
149. Id.
with a “stigmatizing look at Latin American migration.”151 Traditionally, politicians saw European migration as a tool to help populate and develop a vast and “savage” territory.152 The 1853 Argentine Constitution and 1876 ‘Avellaneda Law’ were very open to immigration, requiring the government to encourage European migration, and many rights are granted not exclusively to “citizens” but rather to “all the men of the world who wish to dwell on Argentine soil.”153 The first restrictive immigration laws came in the early twentieth century, and were targeted at limiting workers’ movements influenced by theories of unionization and socialism imported from Europe.154 For example, the Residence Law (Ley de Residencia) of 1902 allowed the government to expel activists and union leaders of foreign origin without judicial intervention.155

In 1981, the military dictatorship repealed the Avellaneda Law by passing a new comprehensive immigration law, the General Law of Migration and the Promotion of Immigration.156 The restrictive law reflected the junta’s focus on national security and nationalism. It conceptualized undocumented migrants as dangerous, and constructed particular migrants as ‘outsiders’ to support a narrower understanding of Argentine identity as European.157 The law provided almost no path to documentation for unskilled workers, who came largely from neighboring countries.158 For undocumented migrants, the law prescribed detention and deportation, often without judicial review.159

While many laws changed after the transition, Law 22.439 remained in force for over twenty years.160 The Congress debated repealing it but chose not to, despite repealing a dictatorship-era nationalization law in 1984.161 Large populations of undocumented immigrants developed162 in democracy, and in response, the government granted several amnesties.163 At the end of

---

151. Paceca & Courtis, supra note 147, at 7.
152. Hines, supra note 150, at 474.
154. Oteiza & Novick, supra note 5, at 5; Fernández, supra note 4, at 23.
155. Fernández, supra note 4 at 23, 25–26, 37; Oteiza & Novick, supra note 5, at 5.
157. Oteiza & Novick, supra note 5, at 8.
158. Hines, supra note 150, at 475.
159. Oteiza & Novick, supra note 5, at 7.
160. Hines, supra note 150, at 472.
162. Paceca & Courtis, supra note 147, at 42.
163. Alfonsín’s administration granted an amnesty in 1984; Menéndez’s administration granted one in 1992. Alfonsín’s government also passed Law 23564 in 1988, which modified the executive regula-
the 1990s, as the country spiraled towards economic crisis, the government cracked down on immigration.\footnote{164} Law 22.439 was applied at times more harshly than it had been under the dictatorship,\footnote{165} culminating in a “systematic campaign of migrant detention” towards the end of the decade.\footnote{166} Several prominent government officials made xenophobic statements in public blaming migrants for a wide range of social ills, in what some now consider to have been a purposeful campaign of scapegoating to avoid responsibility for the economic crisis.\footnote{167} Given this climate, it seems shocking only two years after the crisis ended Migration Law 25.871—considered a trailblazing law on the international stage—\footnote{168} was passed. The new law not only repealed Law 22.439, but also declared the right to migrate as a human right\footnote{169} and granted migrants an extensive list of rights.\footnote{170} The law marked a shift:

from the model of police management . . . founded in the doctrine of national security . . . [to] a model of management that recognizes migration as a human right and, what’s more, obliges the State to establish mechanisms to regularize migration. . . guarantees access to the judiciary in all detention or deportation proceedings and eliminates differentiation between nationals and foreigners with regards to access to rights.\footnote{171}
ii. Activism Surrounding Migration Law 25.872

The migrants' rights movement—and certain key civil society organizations that formed part of it—played a central role in bringing about this drastic change. Civil society organizations, including human rights NGOs, religious groups, and a major union banded together to form a Mesa de Organizaciones de la Sociedad Civil en la Defensa de los Derechos de los Migrantes, which participated actively in the public forums that the State organized in the process of drafting the bill. The movement worked closely with Senator Rubén Giustinianni, who chaired the Commission on Population and Human Resources.

The movement engaged in extensive lobbying, and the diversity of the groups involved allowed it to unite political factions that often disagreed. Pablo Ceriani Cernadas explains:

There was . . . a work of strategic dialogue on the part of the organizations, in which they reached out to different parties present in the Congress . . . So the Catholic Church reached out to members of Congress more sympathetic to the Church, the human rights organizations to those members of Congress more sympathetic to human rights, the CTA to the movement of workers in union, the academics . . . to the Congress members more connected to the University of Buenos Aires . . . And so there was a work of strategic 'advocacy' in Congress, that later complemented the dialogue with the executive branch.

The Migration Law 25.871 passed ultimately as a closed legislation without debate in both chambers of Congress. The new law was not particularly controversial in the media.

---

172. Interview with Ceriani Cernadas, supra note 167; Interview with Nora Perez Vinchich in Buenos Aires, Arg. (2012) (on file with author); Interview with Waldol Villalpando in Buenos Aires, Arg. (2012) (on file with author); Interview with Terminiello, supra note 164; Interview with Mármora, supra note 167.

173. Rubén Giustinianni, Introducción, a MIGRACIÓN: UN DERECHO HUMANO (Rubén Giustinianni ed., 2004); Interview with María Inés Paceca in Buenos Aires, Argentina (2012) (on file with author); Interview with Perez Vinchich, supra note 172. The specific organizations that made up the Mesa were: the Fundación Comisión Argentina de Migraciones, the Centro por Estudios Legales y Sociales; the Asamblea Permanente de Derechos Humanos; the Departamento de Migraciones del Arzobispado de Buenos Aires; the Central de Trabajadores Argentinos; and the Centro de Estudios Migratorios Latinoamericanos.

174. Senator Giustinianni represents the city of Rosario and is a member of the Socialist Party.

175. Interview with Ceriani Cernadas, supra note 167.

176. Interview with Ceriani Cernadas, supra note 167.

177. Id.; Interview with Terminiello, supra note 164; Interview with Nejamkis, supra note 164. It likely helped that Senator Giustinianni, the author of the law, advocated for it personally in the Chamber of Deputies in 2003 when he was still a deputy, and then was elected to the Senate just as the bill passed to the Senate's consideration, so he was able to advocate for it personally there as well. Interview with Luis Bogado-Poisson, in Buenos Aires, Arg. (2012) (on file with author).

178. Interview with Ceriani Cernadas, supra note 167; Interview with Terminiello, supra note 164.
iii. Framing progressive migration reform as a "debt of democracy"

Many activists referred to the need to change the migration law as a "debt of democracy." They actively used both positive and negative historical references in constructing their democratic ideal. First, activists romanticized Argentina's past as a migrant receiving country, appealing to the country's understanding of itself as a "country of immigrants." Proponents framed the Migration Law as a return to the values of the Argentine Constitution, from which Law 22.439 had departed. They argued that the restoration of an Argentine democracy called for a return to this identity and to the constitutional principle that rights were due to all residents, not merely citizens.

Second, activists used the past of the military dictatorship as a negative foil when envisioning a democracy's ideal immigration policy. They emphasized the law's formation under an authoritarian regime, and consciously labeled it the 'Videla Law', to emphasize the connection to the notorious for General Jorge Rafael Videla. Pablo Ceriani Cernadas explained:

[U]ntil 2001–2002, the law wasn't . . . known as the 'Videla Law' . . . There is also a strategic use of the fact that the law was signed by Videla, in light of what Videla represents in terms of human rights violations and the denial of the process of democratic strengthening, to insert the issue into the human rights agenda . . . of the government. As if to say, 'well, within the agenda of human rights and debts of the Argentine State, in addition to these processes of truth, of justice, of memory—there are some laws that were passed by the dictatorship and that reflect the doctrine of national security, like the Migration Law, the Media Law, and other laws passed in the authoritarian era.

In constructing the notion of the Videla Law as an "authoritarian legacy" of the dictatorship, activists focused on three distinct aspects: (1) the lack of democratic legitimacy of the process by which the law was passed; (2) the content of the law, and (3) the institutional embodiment of the law in the National Migration Bureau.

179. Interview with Terminiello, supra note 164; Interview with Corina Courtis, in Buenos Aires, Argentina (2012) (on file with author).
180. Interview with IOM representative, supra note 161; see also interview with Fabiana Hermoso, in Buenos Aires, Arg. (2012) (on file with author).
181. Interview with Terminiello, supra note 164; Giustinianni, supra note 173, at 13.
182. General Jorge Rafael Videla was the first leader of the military dictatorship. Interview with Ceriani Cernadas, supra note 167; Hines, supra note 150, at 472. In this paper, I refer to the law as the Migration Law 22.439, since the term 'Videla Law', while more common, is a component of the frame being analyzed.
183. Interview with Ceriani Cernadas, supra note 167.
184. For an examination of the concept of 'authoritarian legacies', see infra text accompanying notes 420–421.
First, activists questioned the democratic legitimacy of the law, because instead of passing through processes of bicameral and executive review, the law was approved via the unconstitutional practices of the junta, whereby it was written by military officers and given to the junta leaders to sign. After taking power, the junta had replaced justices, dissolved the Congress, and intervened in the Provinces. While these measures were similar to those taken by previous military governments, the 1976-1983 dictatorship went further than previous regimes, in that instead of trying to justify their power within the emergency powers provision of the Constitution, simply suspended the Constitution all together and sought to modify it directly through “institutional acts.” Accordingly, some argue that the migration law represented a de facto law instead of a de jure law. This argument fits into a much broader debate about whether laws passed under irregular, non-constitutional procedures during a military regime that is itself subsequently deemed illegal should be considered a binding legal norm in democracy. However, this argument faced a challenge in that in 1984 the legislature decided not to repeal the migration law, despite repealing other dictatorial laws.

Second, activists argued changing the law was a “debt of democracy” because the law embodied the junta’s Cold War-inspired national security doctrine. Immigration was one of many issues labeled a potential threat to national security. Law 22.439 granted the Ministry of the Interior the powers to determine admissions criteria, establish requirements, and deport any migrant accused of engaging in activities that “affected social peace, national security, or public order,” regardless of status and without judicial review. Some emphasized that the execution of the law by the National Migration Bureau also represented an authoritarian legacy, because it was “an inherited bureaucracy, a bureaucracy that came from the dictatorship, and came from a culture of bureaucracy that was not in the interest of human rights.”

The practices of the Menem administration were also used as a foil to what the democratic ideal should be. Activists emphasized that the Menem administration had used migrants as scapegoats, laying the blame

185. Interview with Perez Vinchich, supra note 172; Interview with Nicolás Figari Costa, in Buenos Aires, Arg. (2012) (on file with author); Interview with IOM representative, supra note 161.
187. Id.
188. Interview with Figari Costa, supra note 185.
189. Groisman, supra note 186, at 44.
190. Interview with IOM representative, supra note 161; Groisman, supra note 186, at 35, 43.
192. Oteiza & Novick, supra note 5, at 8; Ceriani Cernadas & Morales, supra note 24, at 9.
195. Interview with Nejamkis, supra note 164; Interview with Terminelli, supra note 164; Interview with Grimson, supra note 167.
for “two consequences of the neoliberal model . . . unemployment and inequality and violence” at their feet.196 Once they had achieved this drastic change in the country’s migration law, the Round Table organizations remained involved through the complex ‘reglamentation’ process197 and the implementation of the law.198 The movement also turned its attention towards getting a new and progressive refugee law,199 implementing the “debts to democracy” frame again in the process.200 With refugees, there was the added component of alluding to the exile that many Argentines—including some government officials—had experienced during the dictatorship.201

B. The LGBT Movement and Law 26.618202

i. History of the Regulation of Sexuality and the LGBT Movement in Argentina

Since independence, Argentina has been marked by a tension between heteronormativity as “the primary mechanism of sexual regulation”—driven largely by the significant influence of the Catholic Church—and liberal privacy values.203 Legally, the influence of liberal philosophies and the French civil code led to the decriminalization of sodomy in the first Argentine penal code and the protection of the private sphere in the 1853 constitution.204 However, legal repression of homosexuality did arise in forms like the “police edits” and “offence codes,” which imposed penalties for “same-sex relations” and “actions that would offend proper public behavior and good morality,” and laws passed in 1943 and 1951 forbidding homosexuals from voting, working in the public sector, running for office, or serving in the military.205 The State did not “[recognize] ‘sexual minorities’ as legitimate collective actors and members of civil society,” and the

196. Interview with Grimson, supra note 167.
197. Reglamentation (reglamentación) is a figure of the Argentine legal process by which laws have to be passed through the executive branch before being passed by Congress. The executive branch develops a decree to accompany and regulate the law and detail its execution. The reglamentation must be released for the law to enter into force. In the case of the Migration Law 25.871, the reglamentation took six years to be released via Decree 616/2010 in May 2010. Interview with Lila García in Buenos Aires, Arg. (2012) (on file with author).
198. In particular, groups that formed part of the migrants’ rights movement helped execute the Plan Patria Grande, which was aimed at helping migrants from the MERCOSUR countries to regularize their status. Interview with Perez Vinchinch, supra note 172; Interview with Roberto Benencia, in Buenos Aires, Arg. (2012) (on file with author); Interview with Elba Labrador, in Buenos Aires, Arg. (2012) (on file with author).
199. Law No. 26165, Nov. 8, 2006, 31045 B.O. 1 (Arg.).
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courts justified this position on the basis of “the Catholic Church’s position, the protection of the family, and medical opinion.”

The first formal gay political organization in Argentina, known as *Nuestro Mundo*, was founded by ten individuals—including workers and union members—in 1967, two years before the New York Stonewall riots and in the midst of the 1966–1973 dictatorship commonly known as the ‘Argentine Revolution.’ It was the first mobilization of its kind in Latin America. It differed from earlier LGBT organizations in that it evolved from “a mobilized revolutionary left.” Its initial work focused largely on combatting the frequent detention of members of the homosexual community by police. In 1971, *Nuestro Mundo* joined with academics and students to establish the Frente de Liberación homosexual (FLH), a network that advocated more broadly for the “liberation of homosexuals from repressive structures that included the authoritarian regime as well as imperialism.”

Due to State repression under Isabel Peron and the 1976–1983 *junta*, the LGBT movement “completely disappeared.” The LGBT community was at particular risk for two reasons: (1) their cause stood in opposition to the heteronormative discourse of the two regimes; and (2) because of the overlap between members of the LGBT movement and leftist organizations. There is debate among scholars and activists regarding the degree to which the two regimes purposefully targeted LGBT individuals because of their sexuality. It has been estimated that among the ‘disappeared’ victims documented by the CONADEP, 400 or more were members of the LGBT community.

Scholars and activists have varying theories as to why this was the case; some argue that members of the LGBT communities were not targeted because of their sexuality per se, but that they suffered repression because of their leftist ties and/or once they were taken into custody, they were more
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likely to be detailed and/or subjected to particularly brutal torture or abuse. Others argue, however, that the targeting of the LGBT community in general (of the transsexual community in particular) was purposeful. They suggest that the junta understood the term “subversive” to include homosexuals. There is evidence that members of the LGBT community were express targets of the “moral brigades” particularly leading up to the 1978 World Cup. The head of the ‘Morality Division’ of the Federal Police under the junta stated that one of his goals was “to scare the homosexuals from the streets so that they don’t bother the decent people.” José López Rega, the private secretary and close confidante of Isabel Perón notorious for his role in founding and directing the Alianza Anticomunista Argentina also owned a magazine that printed an article calling on its readers to “put an end to homosexuals,” advocating for the creation of concentration camps and forced labor, and demanding brigades that would wander the streets, “hunting men dressed as women, speaking like women.” The article said such brigades should, “cut their hair in the street or shave them and leave them tied to a tree with an explicit and didactic sign.” Right-wing paramilitary attacks on homosexuals increased during Isabel Perón’s presidency. From a more abstract point of view, Bárbara Bilbao argues that the dictatorship “censured and repressed any type of political activity that was connected to a discussion about the control of bodies by the State.”

When the dictatorship began to show weakness, the LGBT movement began to mobilize, marked by the founding of several groups, including the Coordinadora de Grupos Gays. Nevertheless, this mobilization turned out to be dangerous; even in the last year of the dictatorship, an estimated 17
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gay men were killed. As late as 1982, a rightwing paramilitary group called Comando Cóndor stated their intention to "'wipe out' homosexuals." Following the 1983 transition, there was hope that liberalization would bring more rights for the LGBT communities. However, while some new spaces opened, the transition "did not bring about palpable change in social attitudes towards homosexuality, nor did it mean an end to state repression." Figures like the police edicts as well as the 'Morality Division' remained in place. In the four months following Alfonsin's taking power, 343 individuals were detained. Alfonsin's Interior Minister was quoted saying:

Homosexuality is a disease and we plan to deal with it as such. If police have acted it is because there were exhibitions or actions that compromise what can be called the rules of the game of a society that wants to be spared that type of behavior.

In response to this continued repression, members of the LGBT community formed the Comunidad Homosexual Argentina (CHA). Throughout the 1990s, about thirty groups formed with distinctive focuses and varying degrees of politicization. Many were grassroots in style, but varied in approach and strategy. In 1992, the first Gay Pride parade in the country's history took place. While initially the movement was fractured along different identity lines, in March 1996, the groups organized a national-level meeting of gay, lesbian, and transgender organizations, and changed the title of the Pride Parade to include transgenderism and bisexuality.

On the legal front, the CHA had to fight for a long time to gain government recognition. Once they achieved that, one of the first projects they worked on was to pressure the federal government to support the fight
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against HIV/AIDS.235 Building on their success on these two fronts, the movement now looked to push for civil rights protections.236 The newly formed Gays y Lesbianas por los Derechos Civiles pushed a three-pronged agenda: (1) push anti-discrimination measures; (2) fight the decades old ‘police edicts’ and repression at the hands of security forces; and (3) fight for access to socioeconomic benefits by couples of the same sex.237

The 1995 Constitutional Reform, which established Buenos Aires as an autonomous federal district, proved to be an important political opportunity.238 LGBT activists successfully lobbied for the new Buenos Aires constitution to include a prohibition on discrimination on the basis of sex.239 The constitutional anti-discrimination provision, in turn, became key to achieving the second goal of overturning the police edicts.240

ii. Activism for the Reform of the Civil Code and Equal Marriage

Following the movement’s success in establishing non-discrimination measures in the Buenos Aires constitution and overturning the police edicts, activists turned their attention to obtaining legal recognition of same-sex partners.241 The first initiatives included attempts to get same-sex partners access to benefits and/or pensions.242 In 1997, activists successfully convinced the Obra Social para la Actividad Docente (OSPLAD) that their medical coverage should cover same-sex couples that had been co-habitating for at least ten years.243 Activists similarly lobbied the Administración Nacional de Seguridad Social (ANSES) to allow individuals in same-sex couples to receive their partner’s pension benefits.244 However, the ANSES denied the petition on the grounds that it had to follow the Civil Code definition of marriage as between a man and a woman.245

This setback “solidified for many activists the need to pursue the legal recognition of same-sex relationships.”246 The movement chose to first fo-
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cus on the city of Buenos Aires, and successfully pushed for the city to adopt same-sex unions in a law passed on December 11, 2001.247 In 2005, the government of Nestor Kirchner adopted a new national antidiscrimination plan that included provisions calling for the raising of awareness about sexual diversity, the adoption of civil unions, and the nationwide elimination of the localized police edicts.248 In the wake of this plan, the LGBT movement worked with a congressional ally, Senator Diana Conti of the Frente para la Victoria, to submit a bill for federal recognition of civil unions.249 While this bill was not successful, it stirred up debates within the movement about whether they should be pursuing civil unions or marriage.250 Eventually the movement chose to concentrate its energy on a multidimensional push for equal marriage, targeting all three of the branches at once.251 In the Legislature, they continued to work with allied legislators to introduce bills for equal marriage and participated actively in legislative committee hearings.252 In the courts, they pursued strategic litigation, specifically by presenting writs of amparo253 challenging the Civil Code definition of marriage after being denied marriage certificates by the State.254 In November 2009, a Buenos Aires Judge ruled that the denial of a marriage license to same-sex couples was in violation of equal protection and the Buenos Aires constitution, and a number of other courts followed suit thereafter.255 Finally, the movement worked to convince the administration of Cristina Fernández de Kirchner to support equal marriage.256 Having previously expressed public support of civil unions, Fernández waited until June 2010 to take a public position, instead working to convince legislators in her party.257 By some accounts, this reticence was due to concerns that if Fernández showed public support, the opposition would not let the bill pass.258
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The bill passed in the Chamber of Deputies on May 4, 2010, with 126 votes in favor and 110 votes against.\textsuperscript{259} The bill then proceeded to the Senate, which the movement knew would be a harder win because conservative, rural, and religious forces were overrepresented in the Senate.\textsuperscript{260} The opposition—primarily led by the Catholic Church—also escalated activism.\textsuperscript{261} Nevertheless, the bill passed in the Senate, and was released in July of the same year. When the movement agreed to pursue marriage first, they determined that the next issue on their agenda would be the rights of transgender Argentines.\textsuperscript{262} This came to pass, as shortly following the passage of equal marriage, the LGBT movement successfully pushed for what is perhaps the most progressive gender identity law in the world.\textsuperscript{263}

### iii. Framing LGBT Rights as a “Debt of Democracy”

Díez explains that since the return to democracy, “demands for gay marriage recognition have been framed as an issue of equality and human rights (a ‘collective action frame’) that has resonated with larger social debates (‘master frames’), which have seen human rights as central elements of democratic citizenship.”\textsuperscript{264} He observes:

In addition to challenging social perceptions of homosexuality . . . activists decided to frame their demands to end repression within larger national debates on democracy and human rights. For them, an effective way to change social perceptions and gain sympathy was through the establishment of a clear link between human rights and the consolidation of democracy. They thus adopted the motto “Freedom to express one’s sexuality is a human right.”\textsuperscript{265}

The CHA ran advertisements in major newspapers as early as 1985 stating, “with discrimination and repression there is no democracy” and that homosexual citizens were also “worried about the national situation and . . . went through the hard years of the dictatorship.”\textsuperscript{266} Here, the ‘ideal democracy’ is built in contrast with the Dirty War.
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When advocating for anti-discrimination provisions in the Buenos Aires constitution, LGBT activists adopted the human rights frame and forced legislators to take a public stand because they “believed that it would be very difficult for these individuals to oppose publicly their demand given the saliency of human rights in post-transitional Argentina.”267 When they pivoted to call for equal marriage, activists implemented a discourse centered on the “equality of human rights,” which was reportedly “fundamental in convincing legislators who had not originally supported the bill.”268 The most important lobbying point was, “expanding the definition of marriage was an issue of equal rights and a fundamental part of the new Argentine democracy.”269 They also specifically used the phrase “debt of democracy.”270

Finally, Díez observes that this enormous shift—to recognize equal marriage—was “the result of the ability of gay and lesbian activists to weave extensive and effective networks in their push for policy reform and to convince policy makers of the merits of their policy objective in a manner that resonated with larger social debates.”271 Importantly, he argues, LGBT activists had learned from previous experiences, worked to build long-term alliances, and acquired the “discursive tools, strategies, and lobbying tactics” necessary to bring about this change.272

When the Church began to step up its opposition of the bill, one of the bill’s supporters stated that the Church’s behavior, served to remind some of my peers of Argentina’s authoritarian past, when the Church collaborated with [former dictator Jorge Rafael] Videla . . . that image is still very present in the country’s popular consciousness.273

Here, the speaker used a reference to the dictatorship to delegitimize opposition to the bill by a non-State actor—the Catholic Church—and again constructs a contrast between the democratic present, and the undesirable, authoritarian past.

Like the “debts of democracy” frame was carried over by activists from advocating for the Migration Law 25.871 to advocating for the Refugee Law 26.165, LGBT activists carried the “debts of democracy” frame from
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their advocacy on equal marriage to their advocacy for the Gender Identity Law 26.734, which passed in 2012.

C. The Women's Movement and the Legalization of Abortion

This third case differs from the two preceding cases in that it is the only one that has not been successful. Despite consistent mobilization on the part of Argentine women's movements, abortion in Argentina remains criminalized under Article 86 of the Argentine Penal Code. Non-punishable abortion is permitted in two cases:

1. When an abortion is performed, "with the goal of avoiding a threat to the life or health of the mother" and that threat "could not be avoided by other means."277

2. When an abortion is performed when, "the pregnancy results from a rape or an affront of modesty committed against a woman who is an idiot or is demented."278

Despite the prohibition of the practice, the Argentine Ministry of Health estimates that between 460,000 and 600,000 abortions are performed annually. An estimated 100 women die annually from unsafe abortions.280

i. The History of the Argentine Women's Movement and the Regulation of Reproductive Rights

Argentine women's movements have a long history. At the turn of the twentieth century there were active movements fighting for women's suf-
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frage, although they did not succeed until 1947.282 Prior to 1983, there were some advances with regards to legislation regarding women's rights, but "long interruptions in the democratic process" blocked women from accessing their rights.283 For much of the twentieth century, the law continued to infantilize women, and women's citizenship was defined in relation to a domestic and maternal role.284

The Peronist women's movement, formed under Eva Perón, was novel for the country.265 The demands that they made, however, focused on "labor, education, social and civil rights" and avoided discussion of sexuality.286 In the 1970s, a variety of women's rights organizations were active, many of them 'second wave' feminist organizations.287 At the beginning of the decade, the Unión Feminista Argentina (UFA) was founded. Other groups grew out of the UFA, with a range of focuses from gender issues, to political violence, grassroots community education and development.288 The latter two types of organizations were heavily repressed between 1976 and 1983.289

The 1976-1983 dictatorship promoted the "feminine role" as the "role of the wife and mother, vigilante of family order."290 Two important decrees regarding reproductive health were passed around this time. In 1974, President Perón signed Decree No. 659/74—commonly known as the López Rega decree, for the notorious peronist minister—,291 which prohibited the sale of contraceptives and "all activities related to birth control."292 In 1977,293 the junta released Decree 3983, which "prohibited any type of practice of birth control."294

Some sectors of the women's movement were vocally critical of the junta, despite the great risks of criticizing the regime.295 Even during the junta's rule, parts of the women's movement conceptualized their activism very much as a question of citizenship. For example, the Nucleo de Mujeres Polít-
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organized a “series of round tables titled: Woman and Democracy” with the goal of informing women “interested in participating in political activity.”296 Most famously, the Madres and Abuelas de Plaza de Mayo carved out a space for protest, in part by embracing a traditional feminine role that made it difficult for the dictatorship to attack them.297

Following the return to democracy, women’s and feminist organizations blossomed.298 One of the first actions that the women’s rights movement took was to try to use the Convention for the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination Against Women as a means of convincing political parties to include more women on their ballots for the first elections.300 In 1985, the first Encuentro de Mujeres was held, now an annual event.301 It was at one of the Encuentros de Mujeres that a famous Argentine reproductive rights slogan was developed: “education to be able to decide, contraceptives to not be forced to abort, legal abortion so as not to die.”302 There was also an overlap between women’s rights and transitional justice in these spaces, as they pronounced against the amnesty laws and impunity.303

ii. Activism for the Legalization of Abortion

Despite a number of advances won by the women’s movement since the return to democracy in 1983,304 progress remains slow with regards to the legalization of abortion. Many reforms furthering women’s rights were made quickly after the return to democracy, but family planning laws took
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longer.\textsuperscript{305} Decrees 659/74 and 3893\textsuperscript{306} remained in force until 1986, and presented obstacles in implementing new policies in the area.\textsuperscript{307}

Following Alfonsín’s presidency, little progress was made. Menem’s government was “particularly reactionary and regressive” regarding women’s rights.\textsuperscript{308} On the movement side, between 1994 and 1996, a group of politicians and activists formed the \textit{Mujeres Autoconvocadas para decidir en libertad}, which successfully fought to prevent a clause being added to the new Constitution that would have defined life as starting at conception.\textsuperscript{309}

Under President Dualdhe,\textsuperscript{310} there was an advance in the form of the \textit{Programa Nacional de Salud Sexual y Procreación responsable}.\textsuperscript{311} Subsequently, during the Kirchner and Fernández administrations, there were further achievements in sexual and reproductive rights,\textsuperscript{312} but no legislative advances regarding abortion.

There are a number of organizations that advocate for the decriminalization of abortion in Argentina; perhaps the most central of which is the \textit{Campaña nacional por el Derecho a un aborto legal, seguro y gratuito} (CNALSG), composed of 346 organizations in total.\textsuperscript{313} Interestingly, these organizations include not only women’s rights organizations but also many of the traditional transitional justice organizations (for example, the \textit{Madres de Plaza de Mayo}; the \textit{Madres de Plaza de Mayo - Línea Fundadora; Desaparecidos y Detenidos por Razones Políticas}; and several local chapters of H.I.J.O.S.), as well as organizations that focus on diverse issues ranging from LGBT rights to indigenous rights to worker’s rights.\textsuperscript{314}

There has been some progress over the last fifteen years within the Legislature regarding receptiveness to the decriminalization of abortion, but not yet enough to change the law. Maria Elena Barbagelata reflects that while presenting a bill on the decriminalization of abortion in 2000 was “a soli-
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tary task,” over 60 deputies supported a more recent bill pushed by the CNALSG.\textsuperscript{315} She attributes this shift to the effect of social movements.\textsuperscript{316}

iii. Framing Legalized Abortion as a “Debt of Democracy”

The phrase, “debt of democracy” was adopted by the CNALSG as their slogan,\textsuperscript{317} and it—as well as similar phrases like, “pending task” (“asignatura pendiente”)—is used heavily in advocacy surrounding reproductive rights.\textsuperscript{318}

The use of the “debts of democracy” frame among advocates for women’s rights is broad. Often, the phrase is employed without additional explanation, suggesting that it is understood to resonate on its own and to imply substantive factors.\textsuperscript{319} For example, activists refer to reproductive rights in general and the decriminalization of abortion in particular as “among the greatest pending challenges and debts of democracy”\textsuperscript{320} and “the great legislative debt of the democracy with women, and particularly with women in popular sectors.”\textsuperscript{321}

Some women’s rights activists use the phrase “debts of democracy” in conjunction with references to broad democratic ideals. For example, Martha I. Rosenberg and Elsa L. Schwartzman, writing on behalf of the CNALSG, frame the fight for legal abortion as “a fight that establishes us as subjects of rights that can demand justice.”\textsuperscript{322} They state that the practice of abortion “marks the emergence of a political subject ready to defend this identity of a woman who resorts to abortion, as an identity possible of being legitimized in the field of rights” and argue that access to abortion “calls for a fully effective lay state that does not impose rules according to a moral theology.”\textsuperscript{323} Barbagelata also argues that bolstering the self-deter-
mination of women is “an imperative of the very equality of a democratic system that ensures human rights.”

With regards to the post-1983 democracy, activists refer to an idealized substantive democracy “in search for the progress of human rights.” They describe a sense of hope in the new democracy; stating that “so many rights had been violated during the black years of the military dictatorship, that we women wanted to make up for the time lost and obtain and broaden our rights.”

Many activists connect the use of the phrase “debts of democracy” with specific references to the 1976—1983 dictatorship. Some references to the dictatorship are broad, referring to the period, for example, as a “dark night that steamrolled human rights” and recalling that the dictatorship sought to “exterminate everything that threatened our occidental and Christian lifestyle.”

Some activists reference more specifically the policy of the dictatorship that most impacted women’s reproductive health and freedom, i.e. the practice of kidnapping pregnant women, waiting for them to give birth within the clandestine detention center, and stealing the child before murdering the mother. For example, Barbagelata states, “women’s rights arrived at ’83 with many debts, with many women disappeared, with their children born in captivity and stolen.” Similarly, Lesbianas y Feministas por la Decriminalización del Aborto alludes to these notorious dictatorial practices and connects them to modern obstetric violence, stating that:

[t]oday, the witnesses in the trials for crimes against humanity, the women and children who call our phone line, and our own history reveal that something still remains of the maternity ward of the ESMA in our public hospitals.

The Escuela Mecánica de la Armada (ESMA) is the now notorious naval school located in Buenos Aires where the junta held many of the disappeared. The prison was equipped with a maternity ward, where kidnapped pregnant women were forced to give birth only to have their babies stolen by the apparatus of the dictatorship. The organization further states, “[w]e defend the fight against impunity for crimes against humanity to break up the centers of power associated with the dictatorship that continue func-

324. Barbagelata, supra note 365, at 81.
325. Id. at 69.
326. Id. at 107.
327. Barbagelata, supra note 278, at 70.
328. Id.
329. Id. at 71.
tioning today. The demand for legal abortion is an integral part of this fight.\footnote{Id.}

Barbagelata also specifically emphasizes how the dictatorship controlled sexual and reproductive rights, referencing Decrees 659/74 and 3983.\footnote{See text accompanying supra notes 290–294.} There are significant overlaps between this analysis regarding these two decrees\footnote{Decree No. 659 (the Lópex Rega Decree), passed in 1974 under Isabel Perón and Decree No. 3983, which started being written under Isabel Perón’s government but was actually released under the dictatorship. Both decrees severely restricted or prohibited access to birth control. Bianco, supra note 17 at 107, 109; Barbagelata, supra note 278, at 70. For more information about Lópex Rega, see supra note 219 and accompanying text.} and the analysis of the Videla Law.\footnote{For more information about the framing of the ‘Videla Law’, see supra notes 182–183 and accompanying text.} Interestingly, both the decrees and the Videla Law were based on the same justification: that Argentina needed to increase its population.\footnote{Mabel Bianco notes that this justification was not realistic, given that restricting access to birth control only notably increased the birthrates of poorer families. As poorer families had more children, because of their limited resources, the rates of infant mortality increased significantly. Bianco, supra note 17, at 109–110.} Additionally, the decree passed during the dictatorship raises similar questions as the Videla Law with regards to the post-transition legitimacy of norms passed under dictatorships. An interesting question also exists as to whether the labeling of the Decree 689/74 as the ‘Lópex Rega’ decree was a purposeful framing by organizations in the same way that the ‘Videla Law’ was, given that Lópex Rega was responsible for the creation of the Alianza anticomunista argentina (commonly known as the Triple A) under Isabel Perón’s government, a “paramilitary”\footnote{Similar to a paramilitary organization, but connected to the police instead of the military.} organization that carried out violent repression against many sectors of the political left.\footnote{Barbara Sutton & Elizabeth Borland, Framing Abortion Rights in Argentina’s Encuentros Nacionales de Mujeres, 39 Feminist Legal Studies 194, 219 (2013).} As we saw with the LGBT movement, the “debt to democracy” frame is not just used to challenge the State, but also to challenge the Catholic Church. For instance, in the 1993 Women’s Meeting, activists criticized “[t]he doublespeak of the church that claims to defend life but forgets the ecclesiastic hierarchy’s complicity during the military dictatorship, when it silenced the torture and death of pregnant women in captivity.”\footnote{See, e.g., Naddeo, supra note 92; Julia Conteras, Redistribución igualitaria de las Tareas de Cuidado: una cuestión pendiente de la Democracia, in LAS CONQUISTAS DE LAS MUEJERES EN LOS 30 AÑOS DE DEMOCRACIA 129 (Susana Pérez Gallart ed., Asamblea Permanente de Derechos Humanos, 2015).}

Finally, there are also several references to neoliberal policies as a foil for the ideal democracy, although these are fewer than the references to the dictatorship. These references delegitimize neoliberal policies and emphasize the impact of structural adjustment on social rights in particular.\footnote{See supra notes 182–183 and accompanying text.}
Some of this delegitimization criticizes neoliberal policies by arguing that they are a continuation of dictatorial practice in the democracy.340

V. Debts of Democracy: Framing Rights and Seizing Political Opportunities

The "debts of democracy" frame became a master frame crossing Argentine social movements.341 The use of this frame is indicative of how these social movements seek to redefine and reconstruct the concepts of citizenship and democracy, often using the authoritarian period of 1976—1983 and the neoliberal period of the 1990s as a foil. This frame has been strategic as it tapped into powerful social and cultural values and took advantage of timely political opportunities under the Kirchner and Fernández administrations. Overlap between Argentine human rights organizations working on various issues also aided its successful diffusion.

Part A of this section will explore what can be learned about the frame from studying these three movements. Part B will analyze why the frame was strategic for social movements. And finally, Part C will explore how this frame relates to transitional justice, culture, and emotion.

A. Defining "Debts of Democracy"

i. The Meaning of the "Debts of Democracy" Frame

The "debts of democracy" frame creates a narrative of contentious politics. Framing constitutes a means of "encoding . . . situations, events, experiences, and sequences of action."342 Importantly, this frame does not just look backwards to decry the atrocities of the past, but also looks forward to construct an ideal of democracy and citizenship. The frame implements "injustice framing," by presenting certain situations—and, more specifically, legal constructs—as unjust and unsuited for democracy. In the three cases discussed above, it helps movements to "redefine as unjust and immoral what was previously seen as unfortunate but perhaps tolerable",343 in the case of migration, the precariousness of life as an undocumented migrant; in the case of LGBT rights, the inability of non-heterosexual couples to marry; and in the case of abortion legalization, the threats posed by criminalization to maternal health and autonomy. In doing so, the frame actively redefines and expands notions of citizenship and democracy.

What makes the frame unique when compared with other 'rights' frames or frames that imagine an idealized democracy is the retrospective ele-
ment—the specific way in which activists refer to the past as a foil against which they construct their ideal. Across all three movements, negative references to the 1976-1983 dictatorship were most common, although there were also references to the economic crisis of 1999–2002. There were a variety of ways that activists alluded to the past, as set out below:

- The mere use of the phrase “Debts of Democracy,” without contextualization, suggesting the speaker thought it has enough social resonance to be understood on its own.
- An emphasis on the atrocities of the past, particularly (although not exclusively) as they impacted the movement. For example, in the women’s movement, additional emphasis is placed on the obstetric violence and kidnapping.
- An emphasis on the repression of social movements under the dictatorship.
- The questioning of the continuing legality of certain legal instruments that were passed under the dictatorship, such as the ‘Videla Law’ and Decrees 659/74 and 3983.

It is interesting to note that the frame was not used only to challenge the State. Both the LGBT and women’s movements also used it to delegitimize the Catholic Church, a major political opponent.344

ii. “Debts of Democracy” as a Master Frame

Comparing the use of the “debts of democracy” frame across these three movements demonstrates the emergence of a master frame. The frame’s use has by no means been limited to the three movements examined in this paper. It has also been used in reference to issues as diverse as poverty and inequality,345 the public health system,346 media law,347 and electoral fraud.348 Master frames “[f]unction] as a kind of master algorithm that colors and constrains the orientations and activities of other movements.”349

344. The case of migrants’ rights is different from the other cases in this regard, because the Catholic Church figured as an ally rather than an opponent.


In this case, the "debts of democracy" master frame combines human rights and democracy master frames, as well as innovative elements unique to Argentine culture and history that can effectively crosscut across movements. The frame achieves that difficult balance\textsuperscript{350} between being broad to be employed across movements, and specific enough to have cultural resonance.

Jordi Diez's description of how advocates for equal marriage implemented framing could easily describe the application of the "debts to democracy" frame in all three cases: the respective issue is "framed as an issue of equality and human rights (a 'collective action frame') that has resonated with larger social debates ('master frames'), which have seen human rights as central elements of democratic citizenship."\textsuperscript{351} The "debts of democracy" frame is one of these master frames that rests on the concept of "human rights as central elements of democratic citizenship,"\textsuperscript{352} but contains the unique element of the dynamic past-future discourse.

The frame has also spread beyond the social movements themselves, to other actors such as politicians and the media.\textsuperscript{353}

\textbf{B. The Strategy of the "Debts of Democracy" frame: Accessing Political Opportunities and Building Alliances}

The conversion of the "debts of democracy" frame into a master frame indicates it was identified as strategic for advocating under the kirchnerista presidencies. This section will explore why.

\textit{i. Political opportunities: Kirchnerismo and Social Movements}

The "debts of democracy" frame was strategic because it allowed activists to take advantage of political opportunities under the kirchnerista administrations. All four kinds of political opportunities identified by Tarrow\textsuperscript{354} were present, and this frame helped activists seize them.

\textbf{Regime Change:} Both the 1976 transition to democracy and the 2001 crisis represent moments of regime change, where the opportunity structure shifted because the regular relations between the government and its citizens, political actors, and challengers were altered.\textsuperscript{355} The fall of the military dictatorship in 1976 clearly represented a regime change, as the military leadership was ousted and a new democracy established. However, the 2001 crisis—which was not only economic but also political—represented a moment of regime change and also a major break down in the

\textsuperscript{350} Id.
\textsuperscript{351} Diez, supra note 3, at 7, 15.
\textsuperscript{352} Id.
\textsuperscript{353} This spread is muddled by the crossover between groups; activists may be, have been, or become politicians, academics, or state bureaucrats. See infra Part V. B. ii.
\textsuperscript{354} Regime change, political realignment with the polity, availability of influential allies, and opening of access. See supra text accompanying note 123.
\textsuperscript{355} Tarrow, supra note 11, at 161.
political elite. The country experienced "political-institutional collapse." In response, "social collectives resisted and/or survived by appealing to the repertoires that appeared at their reach and by creating other forms of expression of protest." There was a generalized distrust of the political elite; indeed, the rallying cry of the protests was that "They all must go!" (or "¡Que se vayan todos!" in Spanish). Nestor Kirchner entered office in this environment.

**Splits within the elite:** These crises also led to new splits within the traditional elite and, in particular, the delegitimation of certain sectors of the elite. The fall of the military dictatorship in 1976 undoubtedly led to a delegitimization of the military; "[t]he brutality . . . convinced many Argentineans that military intervention was no longer an option." Another important breakdown in the traditional elite was the delegitimization of the Catholic Church, due to "its ambiguous position in terms of human rights violations and the perceived closeness its leadership maintained with members of the military junta." Because of this social perception, "the Catholic Church . . . entered the new democratic context from a weaker position" and "[i]ts influence . . . depends upon . . . the sitting president." Finally, the 1999–2002 crisis amounted to a breakdown in the political elite because the UCR political party—to which President de la Rúa belonged—bore much of the brunt of popular dissatisfaction. This shifted power among the political parties.

**Political realignment:** The kirchnerista administrations presented major ideological shifts from previous administrations that constituted "political realignment with the polity," or, at least, with the human rights community. Among these shifts were their positions on both the dictatorship and the economic crisis.

With regards to the dictatorship, Kirchner's presidency represented a major shift with regards to transitional justice. Argentina had experienced a


357. Pereyra & Vommaro, supra note 30.

358. Tarrow, supra note 11, at 164–65. For a detailed description of these four opportunities, see id. at 165–66.

359. Díez, supra note 3, at 53. This breakdown was particularly significant regarding the migrants' rights movement, as it also delegitimized the national security discourse that had been used to justify restrictive migration laws.

360. Id. at 54.

361. With regards to the post-transition presidents, Jordi Díez observes that Carlos Menem presented a "receptive ear" to the Catholic Church, whereas Nestor Kirchner "closed off" the Church's access and was "confrontational" with the institution. Díez, supra note 3, at 55.

362. Tarrow, supra note 11, at 164–65. For a detailed description of these four opportunities, see id. at 165–66.
long and halting road with regards to transitional justice. The first president post-transition, Raúl Alfonsín, superseded the military's self-amnesty and established a truth commission on the crimes of the Dirty War. Under his presidency, the Trial of the Military Junta superseded the Well-Known 'Nunca Más' ('Never Again') report. For more information, see Berisso & Quintana, supra note 27, at 99. See also ANTHONY W. PEREIRA, POLITICAL (IN)JUSTICE: AUTHORITARIANISM AND THE RULE OF LAW IN BRAZIL, CHILE, AND ARGENTINA 166 (2005).

365. While, in this Article, I generally refer to the dictatorship period as 'the junta,' in reality the period was composed of three different 'juntas' that governed in succession. Berisso & Quintana, supra note 27, at 99. These juntas were led by four different generals: Jorge Rafael Videla (1976–1980), Roberto Viola (1980–1981), Leopoldo E. Galtieri (1981–1982), and Reinaldo Bignone (1982-1985). Fernández, supra note 4, at 40.

366. SIKKINK, supra note 1, at 72–76.

367. PEREIRA, supra note 364, at 166.

368. Factories of the military made further coup attempts when trials in lower courts expanded beyond the leaders initially tried. Id. at 76.

369. In particular, social sectors that supported the 'Theory of the two devils,' which sustains that "both the repressive State power, as well as the popular militants of the resistance, had violated, each one equally, human rights." Berisso & Quintana, supra note 27, at 99–100.

370. The 1986 Full Stop Law (Ley de Punto Final) put a two month limit from the time it was passed in December 1986 to commence legal action against members of the military or the police for actions they had taken during the military junta. The 1987 Law of Due Obedience (Ley de Obediencia Debida) protected lower level officials in the military from prosecution for actions that they took during the military junta under orders from a superior. Fernández, supra note 4, at 43.

371. Berisso & Quintana, supra note 27, at 100; SIKKINK, supra note 1, at 76; PEREIRA, supra note 364, at 166. For a detailed description of transitional justice under the Alfonsín government, SIKKINK, supra note 1, at 70–76; PEREIRA, supra note 364, at 166–67.

372. SIKKINK, supra note 1, at 77.

373. PEREIRA, supra note 364, at 167. The Full Stop and Due Obedience Laws were further declared unconstitutional in 2000 by Judge Gabriel Cavallo. Id. at 166.

374. SIKKINK, supra note 1, at 77–78. The most notorious and brutal repression took place during the first period of the junta, when Videla was the President and Massera was his second in command. Id.
human rights violations.\textsuperscript{375} Other important aspects of constructing memory also took place under the Kirchners, such as the conversion of the notorious ESMA into a memorial space.\textsuperscript{376}

*Kirchnerismo* also took a highly critical position against neoliberal reforms like those undertaken in the 1990s and can be classified as 'post-neoliberal.' Post-neoliberal governments are stated to:

[E]mbody a different conceptualization of the state from that which reigned in the high period of neoliberalism, based on a view that states have a moral responsibility to respect and deliver the inalienable (that is, not market-dependent) rights of their citizens alongside growth.\textsuperscript{377}

**Availability of influential allies:** *Kirchnerismo* also offered a political opportunity for social movements by altering the landscape of available political allies. When Kirchner came to power following the 2001 crisis, many activist communities that had been fighting for the two decades found a new ally.

Members of civil society groups and movements which did not focus on transitional justice also found a new "articulation or dialogue with the state."\textsuperscript{378} The interaction between the human rights movement and the administration with regards to transitional justice opened up a space of dialogue with the government, which groups then used to insert their own issues into the administration's human rights agenda.\textsuperscript{379} Pablo Ceriani Cernadas explained:

There was a strategic use of the theme of human rights by . . . the network of organizations . . . in part because that was the focus with which they had been working . . . but also because when the Kirchner administration took power in 2003, it took the theme of human rights as . . . one of its banners . . . Obviously thinking of human rights in relation to the processes of truth and justice . . . with regards to the crimes of the dictatorship . . . but then that permitted that there was a more fluid dialogue between the human rights organizations . . . and the State.\textsuperscript{380}

\textsuperscript{375} Pereira, *supra* note 364, at 167.
\textsuperscript{376} Camino al Museo, Memoria Abierta, https://perma.cc/BS7N-K973.
\textsuperscript{378} Ceriani Cernadas, *supra* note 167.
\textsuperscript{379} Id. Writing in 2002, before the Kirchners entered power, Stephen Brown observed, "prejudice and/or fear of losing popular support have prevented much of the left from allying itself with gay politics." He argued, "[t]here is no equivalent in Argentina to Brazil's Workers' party, which has forged a working relationship with a broad range of social movements, including the lesbian and gay one." Arguably, this describes what *kirchnerismo* meant with regards to contentious politics: the entrance of a government that sought to have a working relationship with social movements. Brown, *supra* note 16, at 124.
\textsuperscript{380} Ceriani Cernadas, *supra* note 167.
This statement could apply to any of the movements explored in this article. Once kirchnerismo opened a door to human rights organizations with regards to transitional justice, it created a new space of dialogue. Activists recognized that the “debts to democracy” frame would help them to seize political opportunities by allowing them to connect their focus issue to the broader kirchnerist human rights agenda. The successful cases in this article—the Migration Law and the Equal Marriage Law—were among a number of other laws incorporated under that umbrella.381

Outside the Kirchner party, the movements in this article have also been able to make alliances with other political parties. The Socialist Party (PS) and the Socialist Workers Movement (MST) have also “provide[d] critical infrastructure for building national momentum for legislative reform.”382 The legislator who introduced and most advocated for the new migration law, Rubén Giustinniani, is a member of the PS.

**Opening of access:** Relatedly, the kirchnerista presidencies also represented an “opening of access to participation for new actors.”383 In the two successful case studies—the Migration Law and the Equal Marriage Law—there were formalized spaces for civil society to participate throughout the legislative process.

Different reasons have been cited as to why kirchnerismo opened stronger channels for social movements to participate in government. This section will focus on two of the key reasons: (1) roots of kirchnerismo in personismo and (2) the limited institutional capacity of the Argentine government coming out of the economic crisis.

Both Nestor Kirchner and his wife Cristina Fernández de Kirchner ran as candidates for the Partido Judicialista (PJ), the party that evolved out of the Peronist party, founded by populist leader Juan Domingo Perón.384 Other than its populist tendencies, the modern-day PJ is difficult to define in terms of ideology. However, traditionally, peronism had strong ties to social movements.385 Perón, who came from the military, ultimately built

---

381. Other examples included a reform of the Penal Code; the Media Law [Argentine Republic, Law No. 26522, Regulación los Servicios de Comunicación Audiovisual en todo el ámbito territorial de la República Argentina (2009)]; the Refugee Law [Argentine Republic, Law No. 26165, De reconocimiento y protección al refugiado (2006)]; and the Gender Identity Law [Argentine Republic, Law No. 26734, Establishing the right to gender identity (2012)]. Terminiello, supra note 164; Bogado-Poisson, supra note 177; Zallaroli, supra note 194.

382. Redburn, supra note 262.

383. Tarrow, supra note 11, at 164–65. For a detailed description of these four opportunities, see ibid. at 165–66.

384. For more information on Perón and his legacy, see supra note 41.

much of his political career on populism targeted at the working class.386 Under his administrations, previously excluded social sectors were incorporated into the political fabric.387 His style of populism came to be known as Peronism.

The Kirchners made purposeful efforts to construct parallels between themselves and Juan Domingo Perón and his second wife, Eva Duarte Perón.388 These efforts to tie themselves to the first, leftist era of Peronism help explain why they built strong connections with a variety of social movements and opened new spaces for civil society participation.389

A second theory that has been advanced by scholars as to why kirchnerismo built strong connections to social movements is that they recognized both their limited electoral mandate and the limited institutional capacity of the government in the wake of the crisis.390 In an attempt to “neutralize points of conflict and potential protests, [the Kirchner government] open[ed] a window of political opportunity, offering channels for political integration and involvement in the management of resources and social programs.”391

ii. Seizing Opportunities

The Kirchnerist presidencies, therefore, offered Argentine social movements political opportunities. Equally important, however, the social movements were poised to seize those opportunities, and the “debts of democracy” frame was one of the tools they used to do so. As Kathryn Sikkink explained, “political opportunities don’t just exist in the abstract, but need to be perceived and constructed by activists.”392 In the area of transitional justice, when compared to activists in countries in the region, “[t]he political actors in Argentina faced a more conducive context for their

---

386. Perón gained notoriety serving as Secretary of Work and Social Security under the 1943–1946 military dictatorship. Fernández, supra note 4 at 28–30. During his first presidencies, he had massive support from workers and unions, which his administration sought to direct by use of media control, state-sponsored rallies, and propaganda. However, union leaders who opposed Perón did face persecution at this time. What is more, during his third term, Perón’s government took a rightist turn and ultimately resulted in the oppression of leftist movements. Fernández, supra note 4, at 28–30, 33.

387. Of particular note was the inclusion of workers—as discussed in the previous footnote—and women, who gained the right to vote under peronism. Fernández, supra note 4, at 30. This aspect of personismo makes it stand out as unique among the twentieth-century pattern discussed in the introduction of Argentine governments serving the interests of the landed, ruling class and depending on the political exclusion of the masses. See supra notes 31–35 and accompanying text.

388. For more information about Perón and his wives, see supra note 41. When the Kirchners constructed historical parallels to the Peróns, they were in reference to the first, leftist period of Peronism when Juan Perón was married to Eva.

389. Gómez, supra note 385, at 68, 69–71 (exploring the relationship between the Kirchner government and the piquetero movement, but including some more general observations about their relationship with social movements).
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392. Sikkink, supra note 1, at 81–82. Other scholars have made similar observations, arguing that social movements often help create opportunities and that the existence of political opportunities on their own will not lead to any one particular action unless they are used strategically. Gómez, supra note 385, at 71; Tarrow, supra note 11, at 164.
demands after the transition to democracy, yet these groups were also more likely than some of their counterparts in other countries to perceive and create political opportunities.”393 Taking into account that many of the activist organizations in these case studies had their roots in advocating for transitional justice, by the time the Kirchners came to power, many of them had more than two decades of experience taking advantage of political opportunities and forming political alliances.

iii. Networks: overlapping organizations

There is significant overlap in the institutions and relationships among the organizations advocating on these three issues. The overlap of organizations involved—and the common roots of some of them in advocating for transitional justice—helps explain how the “debts of democracy” frame was able to spread.

The LGBT movement and the women’s movement have the strongest historical connections.394 LGBT activists were influenced by second-wave feminists in developing their broader arguments against the heteronormative family as the basic unit of social organization.395 Early in its history, the FLH formed alliances with organizations such as the Women’s Liberation Movement, the Union of Socialist Women, and the UFA.396 These alliances “would strengthen over time and prove critical in the formation of coalitions in subsequent years”397; following the transition, “close, personal relationships were formed among gay, lesbian, and women activists.”398

The LGBT movement in particular made a purposeful effort to create networks, which they saw as essential to building the human rights frame. Díez observed that after the return to democracy, the movement “adopt[ed] . . . a strategy to collaborate with other social movements on a variety of issues, such as human rights and violence: groups and people that had also been repressed by the dictatorship.”399

There were several organizations involved in these debates which were also “leading actors” in this broader social change.400 Of particular importance is the role of the CELS, which has its roots in advocating against the dictatorship but has been active on the issues of migrants’ rights, LGBT rights, and reproductive rights. Diego Morales of CELS explained how the transition from focusing on the dictatorship to working on a broad range of human rights issues happened at the organization. He observed that after the transition, the organization “broadened its work, not only to include
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issues related to the dictatorship but also to include issues related to the violation of human rights in democracy.\textsuperscript{401} One of the first issues CELS worked on was police violence, which was seen as a direct legacy of the dictatorship. From there, they spiraled to other issues; for example, much of the police violence took place in villas de emergencia,\textsuperscript{402} where up to 70\% of the population is also foreign born. So, CELS began to observe how immigration status affected living conditions and police violence, which led to their working on migration issues.\textsuperscript{403}

The way that organizations like CELS have pivoted from advocating for accountability for dictatorial crimes to advocating on a wide variety of human rights issues is reflective of what David Cleary described as the two-stage trajectory of Latin American human rights movements. He described two waves of human rights mobilizations, with the first focused on “dealing with the past” and the second seeing “the energies generated by reactions to human rights violations during the military era . . . flow[ing] into a second stream of mobilizations” and ‘expand[ing] beyond death and disappearance.”\textsuperscript{404} Cleary argued that in this process the very construct of state-society relationships shifted, with the democracy being “characterized by strong and constant pressure applied to the state by civil society through social mobilization and the placement of human rights atop national debates.”\textsuperscript{405}

It is also not surprising that the organizations that arose out of opposing the dictatorship would be particularly attuned to identifying its legacies and likely to view human rights issues through that lens.

The three movements also had overlap with regards to their external networks; all had strong connections to intellectuals and universities, as well as similar connections to political parties and unions. In particular, academics and students from the University of Buenos Aires have been important players in all three movements. With regards to unions, the CTA in particular has been actively involved with promoting progressive change in all three areas.\textsuperscript{406}

As noted above, the Migrant’s Rights movement differs drastically from the other two movements with regards to its relationship to the Catholic

\begin{itemize}
\item \textsuperscript{401} Morales, \textit{supra} note 191.
\item \textsuperscript{402} The “villas de emergencia,” are shantytowns in and/or near the city of Buenos Aires and other major Argentine cities, similar to the better known concept of favelas in Brazilian Portuguese.
\item \textsuperscript{403} Id.
\item \textsuperscript{404} Cleary, \textit{supra} note 60, at xi–xvii.
\item \textsuperscript{405} Diez, \textit{supra} note 3, at 53–54.
\item \textsuperscript{406} The CTA formed part of the Table of Organizations that advised on the Migration Law. The national CTA—as well as some local affiliates—also forms part of the Campaña Nacional por el Derecho al Aborto Legal, Seguro, y Gratuito. See \textit{Adhesiones: Organizaciones que adhieran} (2005–2015), \textit{CAMPAÑA NACIONAL POR EL DERECHO AL ABORTO LEGAL, SEGURO, Y GRATUITO} (Oct. 2015), https://perma.cc/9XRX-LJTP; see also \textit{La CTA por el derecho al aborto, AGENCIA DE NOTICIAS DE LA CTA} (Oct. 1, 2014), https://perma.cc/Y7T9-ZS5A. Finally, the LGBT movement had a long history of close ties with Argentine unions. \textit{Cronología GLTTBI, COMUNIDAD HOMOSEXUAL ARGENTINA}, https://perma.cc/B9TL-CW3V.
\end{itemize}
tion—that many citizens feel about the violations committed by the previous military dictatorship and the failure of the neoliberal policies.

The "debts of democracy" master frame was strategic for Argentine social movements because it connected with social and cultural values, related to frames of the transitional justice process, tapped into public emotion regarding the atrocities of the dictatorship and the disaster of the neoliberal reform, and allowed movements to capitalize on political opportunities.

The emergence of the "debts to democracy" frame, particularly insofar as it is linked to collective memory about the Dirty War, can be considered an authoritarian legacy in the form of a 'reaction to the past.' In a country where the application of transitional justice measures has been highly controversial, the emergence of this frame may demonstrate significant positive impacts that transitional justice can have: generating social consciousness for human rights; giving human rights movements resources; and triggering 'political learning' that may make politicians more open to those movements' claims.

Paola Cesarini and Katherine Hite defined authoritarian legacies as:

[r]hose rules, procedures, norms, patterns, practices, dispositions, relationships, and memories originating in well-defined authoritarian experiences of the past that, as a result of specific historical configuration and/or political struggles, survive democratic transition and intervene in the quality and practice of post-authoritarian democracies.

They argued that authoritarian legacies can take the form of "continuations of the past" or as "reactions to the past."

The pervasiveness of the human rights discourse in Argentina—essential to the success of the "debts of democracy" frame—was by no means guaranteed following the dictatorship. Many Argentines wanted the military to take power in 1976, and even after the transition many citizens continued to view the military favorably: "[i]n Argentina . . . just after re-democratization, many people in the population believed either that the military had

422. See infra note 430 and accompanying text.
423. Transitional justice measures in Argentina have taken varied forms. The country is particularly well known for the trials of members of the junta, as it was one of the first countries in the world to have domestic trials for war crimes. However, the transitional justice process also included the CONADEP truth commission and its 'Nunca Más' report, and other measures such as the conversion of dictator-era detention centers into memory museums.
424. Cesarini & Hite, supra note 184, at 2-5.
425. Continuations of the past could take the form of laws that stay in play (such as the Videla Law or the Decrees on birth control), institutions that stay in power, or the continued dominance of certain social sectors. Id. at 3–5. Reactions to the past may take the form of a human rights counter-culture, such as the human rights movement in Argentina that grew and strengthened following the transition. See id.
not committed human rights violations or, if they had, that the violations were necessary in the context of a war against terrorism.”

What happened between then and now to make this discourse so powerful? With time, the “human rights movement . . . managed to generate widespread popular support for its cause.” Arguably, transitional justice processes, particularly those dedicated to building memory and documenting the truth of what happened, seem to have shifted the public consciousness not only about the Dirty War but also about human rights in general. Sikkink argued, “[i]f the trials prevent future human rights violations . . . it will not only be because they punish the perpetrators. It is also because the ritual or symbolism of the trial, the evidence presented, and the apparent neutrality of the process convinces broader parts of society that the perpetrators deserve punishment.”

These public and shared experiences developed a shared “narration and ordering of the experience” or “collective memory.” The truth commission “made present in the public space something more than narrative and ordering of the experience and testimonies about crimes committed during the dictatorship.” Part of what made the “debts to democracy” frame so successful is that it taps into that shared memory and related emotions. The frame also actively constructs memory, by recuperating the histories of populations whose suffering under the dictatorship is less well known and has been less central to processes of historical memory. In so doing, it highlights how individuals’ intersectional identities impacted their experiences of oppression and violence.

The power of this frame may suggest long term positive effects of transitional justice, insofar as those processes create new tools—for example, discursive tools and frames as well as a broader societal consciousness of human rights issues—that human rights advocates can use to better defend human rights in the post-transition democracy.

The frame has already spread beyond Argentina, particularly to neighboring countries with similar histories of authoritarianism, such as Chile and Uruguay. Argentina’s history with regards to transitional justice al-

---

426. Sikkink, supra note 1, at 61, 75. Sikkink notes that the prosecutors in the first trials in 1985, “knew they had to win the hearts and minds of the public, as well as persuade the judges.” Id.
427. Diez, supra note 3, at 53.
428. Id.
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431. In both countries, the frame has been used to refer to a diverse array of issues. See, e.g., Valentín Doniez, El modelo laboral chileno: la deuda pendiente, 13 Instituto de Políticas Públicas Universidad Diego Portales: Claves de Políticas Públicas (2012); Claudia Dides, Aborto terapéutico en Chile, Organización Panamericana de la Salud (March 19, 2012); Marianela Jarroud, Chile comienza a salir de la deudas de la democracia, Inter Press Service (Feb. 18, 2015); Claudia Dides La pérdida del Aborto Terapéutico en Chile: la democracia en deuda con las mujeres, Fundación Casa de la Paz; Mario Bravo Barraza, ¿Es la reposición del aborto terapéutico una deuda con la democracia?, En Quinto Poder; Presidente de la Corte Suprema: el matrimonio igualitario es una deuda del Estado con las parejas del
Church. The Fundación Comisión Católica Argentina de Migraciones was on among the organizations in the Mesa de Organizaciones de la Sociedad Civil en la Defensa de los Derechos de los Migrantes, and other Church actors also advocated for the law. In contrast, for the movements for LGBT rights and reproductive rights, the Catholic Church is a central opponent.

Second, all three movements sought allies in the legislature and there is some overlap in those allies outside of the Judicialist party. The Socialist Party was an important ally in all three movements. Some politicians eventually adopted the “debts of democracy” discourse regarding all three issues in public commentary and/or in formal contexts such as congressional debates or speeches. Specific political actors were also involved in multiple movements. For example, Senator Rubén Giustiniani, a Socialist Center from Rosario, was the driving force behind the new migration law, but was also an ally regarding Equal Marriage. Former Argentine Supreme Court Justice, Eugenio Raúl Zaffaroni, was an important ally for both the migrants’ rights movement and the LGBT movement. Inés Pérez Suárez was one of the LGBT movement’s close allies early-on, who pushed anti-discrimination measures in the city of Buenos Aires and was known as “an activist in the women’s movement during the country’s transition to democracy.”

Finally, the movements sought to build alliances with journalists in order to bring their message to the public, and some sympathetic journalists have in turn adopted the frame.

In all three cases, it is sometimes difficult to draw a distinct line determining who does and does not belong to the movement. Many individual actors may have shifted roles but remain involved, and/or may even play multiple roles at once. As Díez explained:

[i]n Latin America, individuals who belong to social movements or nongovernmental organizations . . . have increasingly been recruited into government while maintaining strong relationships with civil society organizations. This is especially the case with

407. Id. at 112–13 (“The new rights-claim phrase marked the beginning of what became central to the interaction between the movement and the state in Argentina: the identification of state allies that would be sympathetic to their demands.”).

408. In general, human rights organizations in Argentina have been very active in injecting the discourse of human rights in sympathetic political parties. Katherine Hite & Leonardo Morlino, Problematizing the Links Between Authoritarian Legacies and ‘Good Democracy’, in AUTHORITARIAN LEGACIES AND DEMOCRACY IN LATIN AMERICA AND SOUTHERN EUROPE 66 (Katherine Hite & Paola Cesarini eds., 2004).

410. Díez, supra note 3, at 151.
411. Zaffaroni, supra note 194.
412. Díez, supra note 3, at 113, 132. However, Zaffaroni has stated that he is against abortion. Julia de Tito, Zaffaroni también contra el aborto, MARCHA (Apr. 25, 2013).
413. Díez supra note 3, at 113.
414. Díez, supra note 3, at 114.
the region's recent shift to the left. Many of the social democratic parties that have been elected into government emerged from grassroots mobilization and have strong links with civil society actors. Because of this crossover, Díez explained that the more traditional concept of social movements that refers exclusively to nonstate actors is "rendered of limited use." Because of this challenge, some scholars have developed new terms such as "policy networks" and "issue networks."

C. Framing: 'Bricolage,' culture, and the influence of transitional justice

The "debts of democracy" frame also makes use of "bricolage" by drawing on "familiar themes" from transitional justice, and then "soldering onto them" new areas of human rights. It builds off of another post-transition master frame, the association of "democratic consolidation with human rights." For many, revelations of the brutality of the dictatorship cemented a new "democratic consensus." As Enrique Peruzzotti explained:

Argentina has undergone a social and cultural transformation in which wide public concern for civil rights and the rule of law has deepened and a more sophisticated citizenry has internalized the need to demand actively accountability from governments.

The "debts of democracy" frame is powerful precisely because it taps into "cultural symbols" that arose from the transitional justice processes, and because it also activates the emotion—for example, anger and indigna-

---

415. Díez, supra note 3, at 8. Stephen Brown similarly observes in the case of the LGBT movement, "[w]hile much of the literature tends to present social movements as cases of society versus the state, the Argentinean lesbian and gay movement demonstrates a more ambiguous relationship." Brown, supra note 16, at 129.

416. Díez, supra note 3, at 8.

417. Díez, supra note 3, at 8–9. Díez attributes the use of the term "policy networks" in studies on Latin America to Mary Rose Kubal, and that of "issue networks" to Mala Htun. Specifically, he references the following articles: Mary Rose Kubal, Transnational Policy Networks and Public Security Policy in Argentina and Chile, in COMPARATIVE PUBLIC POLICY IN LATIN AMERICA (Jordi Diez & Susan Franceschet eds., 2012); Mala Htun, Sex and the State: Abortion, Divorce, and the Family under Latin American Dictatorships and Democracies (2003).

418. Tarrow, supra note 11, at 46.

419. Díez, supra note 3, at 54. Diez explains, "discussions regarding human rights have been at the core of national debates, and democratization has, at least discursively, largely been equated with greater respect for human rights." Id. at 7, 15.

420. Id. at 3, 15.

421. Enrique Peruzzotti, Demanding Accountable Government: Citizens, Politicians, and the Perils of Representative Democracy in Argentina, in THE POLITICS OF INSTITUTIONAL WEAKNESS (Steven Levitsky & María Victoria Murillo, eds., 2005), as described in Díez, supra note 3, at 53. However, the citizenry's embrace of the concepts of human rights and democracy were by no means a given following the transition. For more information, see supra Part V.B ii.
ready made it a key protagonist in terms of human rights innovation in Latin America. Argentine human rights activists have helped spread innovative transitional justice practices beyond its borders, thereby developing well-established international networks and becoming recognized as innovators and leaders.

In Argentina, it is still unclear to what degree the use of the "debts of democracy" frame was specially tailored to the Kirchners, and what impact the end of their control of the executive and the resulting shift in the political opportunity structure will have on its use. In the 2015 election, conservative opposition candidate Mauricio Macri—the former mayor of Buenos Aires—won. Macri's discourse and policies mark a significant shift from the kirchnerist era, and his election marks the first time a center-right president has been elected since the democratic transition. His election was critiqued by many on the left—including many human rights organizations—that predicted a return to neoliberal economic policies, large-scale privatization, and backtracking on human rights issues. Since coming into office, Macri has made several public nods to human rights, but has also implemented a new discourse of national security and strong


432. Sikkink, supra note 2, at 1.
435. Watts & Goñi, supra note 434; De los Reyes, supra note 433. See also, Robert Muir, Macri borra la huella de Kirchner, La Vanguardia (Jan. 10, 2016), https://perma.cc/C8H4-YKEB.
436. Some actions taken during his time as mayor suggest that he may be less receptive to the issues central to the social movements described in this paper. For example, while Macri was mayor of Buenos Aires, he vetoed the Law 4,318 on Non-punishable abortion, which interpreted the Penal Code in a very permissive manner. Naddeo, supra note 92, at 90. He has stated publicly that he is anti-abortion. De los Reyes, supra note 433. With regards to migration law, Macri was one of the only politicians to have made xenophobic comments following the economic crisis. In 2010, a group of residents from some of the 'villas de emergencia' took over a public park to demand better housing from the city government in a conflict known as the Parque Indoamericano incident. The group was not wholly migrants, but they came to be portrayed as foreigners. Macri repeated some of the xenophobic discourse of the 1990s, saying that migrants came to Argentina to take advantage of public space and public services, and alluding that migrants were responsible for increases in unemployment and for drug trafficking. García, supra note 197; Terminiello, supra note 164; Courtis, supra note 179.
437. For example, he met with indigenous community leaders from more than 30 ethnicities of the indigenous community shortly after his inauguration. Mauricio Macri: Vamos a trabajar juntos para atender las necesidades de los pueblos originarios la Nacion (Dec. 17, 2015), https://perma.cc/E22A-PY6E. He also met with the President of the Abuelas de Plaza de Mayo, Estela de Carlotto, after taking office. Estela de
economic austerity measures that seem to track with the predictions made by many activists. Accordingly, many of the movements that were active during the previous administration—particularly those traditionally affiliated with the political left and kirchnerismo—remain skeptical of his human rights agenda.

While it remains to be seen what this ideological transition will mean for social movements in Argentina in the long term, what is clear is that the 'debts of democracy' frame is representative of new ways of imagining substantive democracy. The notion of democracy as fundamentally defined by its protection of human rights seems to resonate both with the activists who implement the frame, and a significant portion of the public, political elite, and media they seek to target. It is a notion of democracy that actively grapples with the horrors of the past, in a constant process of recuperation of historical memory and analysis of the ways that the oppressions of the pre-democratic authoritarian era can leak into and be reproduced in the present. The frame's popularity suggests how transitional justice and historical memory can generate a distinct human rights culture and create new discursive and framing tools for activists and social movements. Its success demonstrates how social movements can then use those tools—embodiments of reactions to the authoritarian past—to fight for the protection of human rights in democracy.

Carlotto: Cuando llegamos Macri tenía una cara y cuando nos fuimos tenía otra, LA NACIÓN (Feb. 23, 2016), https://perma.cc/64R2-WEKB.